1	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD
2	In the Matter of
3	
4	VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3)
5	South side of Kings Hill Road at
6	Montgomery Town Line Section 11; Block 1; Lot 66.21
7	Zone: R-1
8	PUBLIC HEARING - 5 LOT MINOR SUBDIVISION
9	Date: October 5, 2023 Time: 7:00 p.m.
10	Place: Town of Newburgh
11	Town Hall 1496 Route 300
12	Newburgh, NY 12550
13	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman
14	FRANK S. GALLI DAVID DOMINICK
15	KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA
16	JOHN A. WARD CLIFFORD BROWNE
17	ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES
18	JAMES CAMPBELL
19	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN NOSEK and
20	APPLICANT S REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN NOSER AND
21	
22	REPORTED BY: Patrick DeGiorgio, Court Reporter
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO P.O. Box 816 Dover Plains, New York 12522
24	(845) 541-4163

will take comments one at a time and

we will work through all the comments in the room and see if there's an opportunity for additional comments if necessary.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. So

the first item on the agenda this

evening as was discussed by Dominic

Cordisco is Vantage Construction.

It's a public hearing for a five-lot

minor subdivision. It's located in an

R-1 Zone. It's being represented by

Nosek Engineering and Mr. Mennerich

will read the Notice of Hearing.

MR. MENNERICH: Notice of
Hearing, Town of Newburgh Planning
Board. Please take notice that the
Planning Board of the Town of
Newburgh, Orange County, New York will
hold a public hearing pursuant to
Section 276 of the New York State Town
Law on the application of Vantage
Construction, a five-lot subdivision,
project 2023-03. The project involves
a proposed five-lot subdivision of a

24

93 plus or minus acre parcel of property. Four new single family lots are proposed with a large balance parcel of 83 plus or minus acres remaining. All residential lots are proposed to be served by individual wells and subsurface sanitary sewer disposal systems. Two common driveways are proposed to serve the residential lots. Access to the lots will be from the common driveways off of Kings Hill Road. The project is located in the town's R-1 Zoning District. The project site is known on the Town of Newburgh tax maps as Section 11, Lot 1, Lot 66.21. A public hearing will be held on the 5th day of October 2023 at the Town of Newburgh Town Hall Meeting Room, 1496 Route 300, Newburgh, New York at 7 p.m. or as soon thereafter as can be heard at which time all interested persons will be given an opportunity to be heard. By order the Town of

1 Newburgh Planning Board, John P. 2 Ewasutyn, Planning Board Chairman, 3 Town of Newburgh, dated 8 September, 2023. 4 5 MR. NOSEK: Good evening, John 6 Nosek, Nosek Engineering, the engineer 7 for this project. With me is Andy 8 Bell, the owner of Vantage 9 Construction. What we are looking to 10 do is we are proposing to subdivide 11 four lots plus the remaining lot 12 parcel of approximately 83.2 acres, 13 four new single family residential 14 lots. All four will have access onto 15 Kings Hill Road with combined 16 driveways to limit the number of curb 17 cuts onto Kings Hill Road. These lots 18 will be serviced by individual 19 subsurface septic systems. We have

20

21

22

23

24

So that's pretty much what we are looking to do. There's no plans

done our soils testing, our perk tests

and our test bits and individual

wells.

1 for the remaining parcel at this time. 2 There are some federal wetlands on the 3 property that are shown here and are shown here (indicating) and we are not 4 5 proposing any construction or 6 development even anywhere within probably 300 or 400 feet of those 8 wetlands. That's pretty much it. 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We'll open the meeting for comment. Your name 10 11 and address? 12 MR. GLASS: My name is William Glass. I live at 48 Maidstone Drive. 13 14 I border the property. Maidstone 15 Drive runs north south parallel to 16 Rock Cut Road. So my first question 17 is how is it that you putting up --18 how far away is it from Maidstone 19 Drive where my property is? 20 what I would like to know. 21 MR. NOSEK: So this property 22 line -- let me put this back to the 23 overall piece. The closest lot to

your lot would be this lot right here

1	which I believe is Lot Number 1 and
2	then 2 and then 3 and 4 are on the
3	other end of the property. This is
4	Maidstone Drive right here. I don't
5	know which lot is you. The closest
6	lot to you would be Lot Number 1. So
7	if we flip this over to get a larger
8	view of Lot Number 1, you can see that
9	we are proposing the home very close
10	to the setback line furthest from the
11	property line. So this is all fairly
12	wooded in through here so you probably
13	won't even see the home.
14	MR. GLASS: How far away
15	distance-wise would it be from
16	Maidstone Drive?
17	MR. NOSEK: It's a couple
18	hundred feet.
19	MR. GLASS: How about the rest
20	of the property? I mean you bought 93
21	acres. I suppose you spent some money
22	on 93 acres, you have to recoup it
23	with the construction of four lots, so

what's -- there must be some kind of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. GLASS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: In the back.

MS. MANIA: Sharon Mania,

Maidstone Drive. Was anything done
checking to see if your wells will
affect our wells?

1	MR. NOSEK: Wells are
2	(interrupted)
3	MS. MANIA: I'm the second house
4	in so that first house is right next
5	to me.
6	MR. NOSEK: So this well, again,
7	if you look at the property, is
8	proposed at the far end of the lot and
9	then there's Lot Number 2's well and
10	then Lots 3 and 4 of course are on the
11	other end of the property. So even
12	with Lot Number 1, I don't know
13	exactly where your well is, but we are
14	at least 250 feet to our property line
15	and whatever distance would be towards
16	from your property line to your
17	well, I'm not sure which lot you are.
18	MS. MANIA: I'm the second one
19	right there.
20	MR. NOSEK: So this is your well
21	right here. So you are probably about
22	350 feet away from our well from the
23	closest well to you, to your well.
24	MS. MANIA: When guys were

1	coming and checking everything, they
2	told us they were going to do
3	something and see if those wells would
4	affect our wells. Nothing was done.
5	MR. NOSEK: No hydrologic study
6	has been performed, but given four
7	lots on the amount of acres, this is
8	not really a major subdivision, it's a
9	minor subdivision. We are only
10	looking to do the land lends itself
11	to do a much bigger development.
12	Hence the question of potential future
13	development, we are only looking to
14	build four homes at this time.
15	MS. MANIA: My yard backs to the
16	property. There's two stone walls
17	behind the property. Are they going
18	to take those down?
19	MR. NOSEK: I don't think so.
20	MS. MANIA: Along those stone
21	walls are a row of trees that are a
22	buffer between your property and ours.
23	MR. NOSEK: Correct.
24	MS. MANIA: Are they going to

## VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) 1 cut those trees down? 2 MR. NOSEK: I would assume that 3 the owner of this lot would want the buffer privacy just as much as you 4 5 would so I would say no. MS. MANIA: But you don't know 6 7 definitely? 8 MR. NOSEK: Right. 9 MS. MANIA: I'm done. 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: First 11 gentleman with the short sleeved 12 shirt. 13 MR. SMITH: Sean Smith, 60 Kings 14 Hill Road. I live right across the 15 street from the second home on the 16 left side there. Why are these homes built just off the road? You have all 17 18 this acreage, why don't they push them 19 down more or into the property line? 20 MR. NOSEK: The zoning in this 21 particular one is an RA-1 which is a

40,000 square foot lot size and we are

well above that with all these lots,

so there's not really any reason to

22

23

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1

23

24

make them bigger. They are above the minimum zoning requirement for the town.

MR. SMITH: I don't know if that answered my question. Why aren't they set back more from Kings Hill Road?

MR. NOSEK: Sorry, set back from Kings Hill Road. There might be a better answer. 3 and 4 are here, so if you look at 3 and 4 you can see that the proposed homes are a couple hundred feet back from the road. As far as Lots 1 and 2, the soils are better in these spots here where we tested, so if we have to get to the septic systems by gravity by the house that means the house has to be pushed up further in order to be able to get the septics there. We meet all the minimum requirements per state code.

MR. SMITH: That doesn't exist if you pushed it deeper into the property off of Kings Hill?

MR. NOSEK: It's possible that

entered into that question.

1	MR. NOSEK: It will take months
2	to get the map finalized and then you
3	go into the winter.
4	MR. WARD: Excuse me, could the
5	gentleman in the front give his name
6	to the stenographer?
7	MR. BELL: I'm Andy Bell, owner
8	of the property, Vantage Construction.
9	MR. SMITH: Do you have any idea
10	what size homes are being built,
11	square footage?
12	MR. BELL: Right now the market
13	is bearing 2,500, 2,750 to 3,000
14	square foot colonials. That's
15	typically what the market is asking
16	for right now. That's probably where
17	we are going to go.
18	MR. SMITH: Similar to the
19	Forest Ridge type of home that's off
20	of Rock Cut Road?
21	MR. BELL: Yeah, that flavor,
22	yes.
23	MR. SMITH: And why the split
24	driveways again cut into two houses?

	VANIAGE CONDINCCTION (25 5)
1	Is that an efficiency thing?
2	MR. BELL: It's a shared
3	driveway.
4	MR. NOSEK: It's a shared
5	driveway. What it does is essentially
6	it makes one entrance instead of two
7	so it's double width of a normal
8	driveway. There's two that are
9	shared. Instead of having four curb
LO	cuts onto Kings Hill Road there's only
L1	two and then they split off after they
L2	come.
L3	MR. BELL: It's really about
L 4	safety honestly.
L5	MR. NOSEK: And it was requested
L6	by the board and the consultants.
L7	MR. SMITH: I'm sure you all
L8	know that it's like a speedway right
L9	there, that stretch pretty much from
20	Plains to Rock Cut. This is a
21	question for the board. Would you
22	consider speed bumping with these
23	homes going up as well when talking
24	about safety? We live next door to

1	each other. It's a racetrack whether
2	it's a motorcycle or a truck or car.
3	Dump trucks are coming in there that
4	are not supposed to be there, they
5	come in empty and they leave full and
6	they still come up through Rock Cut.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They are
8	not supposed to be there at all.
9	MR. SMITH: Exactly.
10	MR. CORDISCO: Excuse me, as I
11	said earlier, it's one at a time. We
12	cannot keep up with all the comments.
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sorry.
14	MR. CORDISCO: On the question
15	of speed bumps on the highway,
16	unfortunately that's something that
17	this board just does not have any
18	jurisdiction over whatsoever. We
19	can't require it, we can't change it.
20	MR. SMITH: Associating what is
21	happening here in the spirit of
22	safety, can that transfer over to the
23	highway department?
24	MR. CORDISCO: If you would let

1	me finish speaking. That's a request
2	that could be made to the town board.
3	The likelihood of speed bumps on a
4	town road is not something I've ever
5	heard them do. There are other speed
6	calming measures that could be
7	considered by the town board in
8	connection with that. That's above
9	our pay grade and it's not something
10	that this board has jurisdiction over.
11	MR. SMITH: Would you support
12	something like that knowing it's
13	something we started to discuss?
14	MR. CORDISCO: I'm just the
15	planning board attorney.
16	MR. SMITH: I'm asking you the
17	question. You didn't answer the
18	question so I'm directing the question
19	right back to you. So no one knows?
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a
21	question.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Excuse me.
23	That's what Dominic just got done
24	saying.

1 MR. SMITH: Is there a 2 landscaped plan between Kings Hill and 3 these homes? Right now again they are wooded, trees separating. I know this 4 5 isn't to say let's knock down or 6 anything like that, but is there any 7 kind of landscape plan outside of the 8 split driveway? 9 MR. BELL: As part of the permit 10 process and CO process we are 11 responsible to rake and seed. 12 Typically a consumer will approach us 13 towards the end of the job and there's a landscaping plan that is put into 14 15 place, but there is nothing that is 16 scheduled to my knowledge that's a 17 requirement in the town. MR. NOSEK: Just to add onto 18 19 that. If you look on the map here, 20 there's an area on each lot which 21 tries to as much as possible hold the 22 house, the well area, the septic area

of what we determine the disturbance

limits so to avoid just clear cutting

23

1	a two-acre lot. And so we have agreed
2	to leave as much as these lots
3	undisturbed as possible. If you look
4	at this one here, you will see this
5	whole area is pretty much undisturbed
6	so we want to try to preserve as many
7	of the trees as possible. The town
8	has a tree ordinance. We complied
9	with it. We went out and looked at
10	all the significant trees per the town
11	code and with the exception of one
12	tree that needs to come down, all the
13	rest of those trees we noted on the
14	plans will not be disturbed.
15	MR. SMITH: Basically these get
16	built when somebody buys the property?
17	MR. BELL: When the market
18	bears. It could be spring, it could
19	be 10 years after that.
20	MR. SMITH: This could go on for
21	a couple years?
22	MR. NOSEK: Potentially it
23	could, yes.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Gentlemen in

#### VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) 1 the back. 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm 39 3 Kings Hill Road. MR. DOMINICK: Your name, sir? 4 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Where are you putting those two houses down 6 7 between the cow pond? 8 MR. CORDISCO: Excuse me, if you 9 could supply your name for the record? 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER. The right 11 of way down? 12 MR. CORDISCO: Your name? 13 MR. SANTARELLI: Michael Santarelli, S-A-N-T-A-R-E-L-L-I. 14 15 MR. CORDISCO: Thank you. 16 MR. NOSEK: Is this the pond 17 that you are referring to down here? There is a pond that is encompassed 18 19 within the wetland area. 20 MR. BELL: Are you talking about 21 the pond that you can see from Kings 22 Hill Road?

MR. SANTARELLI: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

That is

23

	VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) 22
1	not on the property.
2	MR. SANTARELLI: My property
3	goes right down to that right behind
4	me.
5	MR. NOSEK: Where are you on
6	Kings Hill Road?
7	MR. SANTARELLI: 39. I'm on
8	here (indicating).
9	MR. NOSEK: Santarelli, here,
10	this is you. So this line borders the
11	property line so if you let me flip
12	this over because that's the lot you
13	would be most concerned with I'm sure,
14	this is your lot right here. So these
15	are our proposed houses back down in
16	here.
17	MR. SANTARELLI: How are you
18	going to get in and out with my
19	property?
20	MR. NOSEK: This is the combined
21	driveway right here. One goes here
22	and the other goes here.
23	MR. SANTARELLI: That's down at
24	the end of the property line, where?

1	MR. NOSEK: As far as that point
2	here, I can give you a distance. 160
3	feet is to that property line. As far
4	as to where the actual house is
5	proposed there, it's probably like
6	somewhere between 250 and 300 feet.
7	MR. SANTARELLI: That's right by
8	the stone wall. They come down from
9	the field.
LO	MR. NOSEK: Here where the
l1	wetland area is.
L2	MR. SANTARELLI: We're there for
L3	60 years now.
L 4	MR. NOSEK: We are nowhere near
L5	that really. I can only show it
L6	partially, but this the actual wetland
L7	and the pond is probably somewhere
L8	down in here. You can see quite a
L9	distance here. Probably more like 400
20	feet, somewhere in there from the
21	home.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Is there
23	anyone here who hasn't spoken and
24	would like to speak?

1	MR. MANIA: My name is Chris
2	Mania. I'm on Maidstone Drive. So
3	you have a big opening for four
4	houses, two and two, that's where you
5	plan to put the road in in the future?
6	MR. NOSEK: I didn't hear you.
7	Two homes, two homes.
8	MR. MANIA: And what's in
9	between?
LO	MR. NOSEK: In here?
L1	MR. MANIA: You put the road in
L2	for the other lots in the future?
L3	MR. NOSEK: If that's possible.
L 4	MR. MANIA: You sell these, make
L5	some money and then build.
L 6	MR. NOSEK: That's his question.
L7	MR. MANIA: You don't plan to
L8	buy and let it sit there and do
L9	nothing.
20	MR. BELL: I'm not sure what I'm
21	doing with that property. It depends.
22	I could sell it. I could put a home
23	on it and stay there myself. I might
24	turn it into a farm. Because that's

	VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) 2
1	what it was originally. Or it could
2	be 23 lots some day. I'm not sure.
3	MR. MANIA: As far as the
4	traffic (interrupted)
5	MR. BELL: If I had a plan right
6	now I would tell you that because
7	there's no reason not to.
8	MR. MANIA: As far as the
9	traffic goes on Kings Hill it's
10	dangerous. Are you required to put
11	turning lanes in?
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: It's not a
13	requirement?
14	MR. MANIA: For safety sake.
15	MR. NOSEK: Put what in?
16	MR. MANIA: Turn lanes. Widen
17	the road and turn into the property
18	without holding up traffic.
19	MR. CORDISCO: Just so we can be
20	clear once again, there really
21	cannot be (interrupted)
22	MR. BELL: Which road are you

talking about?

MR. MANIA: Kings Hill.

23

	VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) 20
1	MR. BELL: Turning lane on Kings
2	Hill Road?
3	MR. NOSEK: We have adequate
4	sight distance. As far as the amount
5	of traffic, I mean for four homes we
6	are not talking hundreds of vehicles.
7	Maybe 10 trips a day, 12.
8	MR. MANIA: There's plenty of
9	traffic. Trucks going through.
10	There's lots of traffic. You can use
11	that road to cut over instead of going
12	the other way. It's going to be
13	dangerous, I'm telling you that.
14	Water lines, they are going in front
15	of the house, the wells?
16	MR. NOSEK: The water lines go
17	from the well casing into the house.
18	MR. MANIA: Where are the wells
19	being drilled?
20	MR. NOSEK: One here, one here,
21	one here and one here (indicating).
22	MR. MANIA: And the septic
23	system?
24	MR. NOSEK: Yes. This is a

1 septic, 1, 2, 3 and 4 each on their 2 own lot. 3 MR. MANIA: And no septic tanks? MR. NOSEK: Septic tanks? 4 5 Septic tank here, septic tank here, 6 septic tank here and a septic tank 7 here (indicating). 8 MR. MANIA: I'm concerned about 9 runoff. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines 11 who represents the town itself will 12 speak on that subject. MR. HINES: The subsurface 13 sanitary sewer disposal systems were 14 15 reviewed by my office and they comply 16 with the state standards, 75A, so each 17 of them have an appropriate size 18 system for the number of bedrooms that 19 are proposed. 20 This project will require 21 disturbing approximately one acre so 22 it will require a stormwater permit from the D.E.C. prior to construction 23

which will consist of an erosion and

1	sediment control. The disturbance
2	does not exceed the thresholds of the
3	D.E.C. or the town's stormwater
4	management code to require stormwater
5	runoff quantity control. It's a very
6	small amount of disturbance based on
7	the size of the watershed that it's
8	in. They are more than likely built
9	one at a time and the disturbed areas
10	will be revegetated, either landscape
11	or grass lawns so it does not require
12	a stormwater management report.
13	MR. MANIA: Were any test holes
14	dug to see how deep the shale is?
15	MR. NOSEK: Yes. We did soils
16	testing. There was one where we were
17	a little bit shallow here and we slid
18	the system down and the test pits are
19	on the plan. I don't have the sheet
20	with me.
21	MR. MANIA: How about the
22	foundations being built?
23	MR. NOSEK: All the test pits we
24	did for the septic systems which are

	VANIAGE CONSTRUCTION (23 3)
1	fairly close to the homes are six-foot
2	plus deep. A minimum of six.
3	MR. MANIA: What about the
4	basements, is there a concern for the
5	shale in the foundation? Do you plan
6	on blasting?
7	MR. NOSEK: Definitely not. No
8	plans for blasting.
9	MR. BELL: We don't blast
10	anymore. The town would have to
11	(interrupted)
12	MR. NOSEK: We don't envision
13	that happening based on the soils.
14	MR. MANIA: That's it. Thank
15	you.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Gentleman in
17	the back.
18	MR. ZOUTIS: William Zoutis, 64
19	Kings Hill Road. I live right across
20	the street from one of the proposed
21	driveways. I guess you finally
22	decided that you are going to share
23	driveways and have one driveway for
24	two houses?

1	MR. NOSEK: That was a request
2	of the planning board.
3	MR. ZOUTIS: Exactly where? Is
4	that going to be across from my
5	driveway? If you can show me.
6	MR. NOSEK: This is pretty much
7	at the high point.
8	MR. BELL: Which house is yours?
9	MR. ZOUTIS: 64.
10	MR. MANIA: I'm not sure. I
11	don't know where 64 is.
12	MR. ZOUTIS: This is Maidstone
13	over here, so I'm on this side, the
14	second house.
15	MR. SMITH: I'm his neighbor.
16	Do you mind if I look as well?
17	MR. NOSEK: The driveways are at
18	the high point of the road which
19	provides the septic systems in both
20	directions. If you know, if you knew,
21	because you live there, if you try to
22	come down here this way, you lose the
23	car coming this way, if you try to go
24	there, you lose the car coming from

	VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) 31
1	there. So you have sight distance in
2	both directions.
3	MR. ZOUTIS: Where is my
4	driveway?
5	MR. NOSEK: I'm not sure where
6	your driveway is.
7	MR. BELL: Which lot?
8	MR. ZOUTIS: The Town of
9	Montgomery line, Pete's house and my
10	house.
11	MR. BELL: Where is that
12	telephone pole?
13	MR. ZOUTIS: This is kind of
14	across the street.
15	MR. BELL: You know where the
16	penetration is now where we go into
17	the field?
18	MR. ZOUTIS: Yes.
19	MR. BELL: Where is your house
20	compared to that?
21	MR. ZOUTIS: Here (indicating).
22	MR. BELL: This is about in the
23	same spot.

MR. ZOUTIS: So we are going to

1 be facing each other? 2 MR. BELL: You said you're right 3 here. It looks likes it's off about 20, 30, 50 feet. 4 5 MR. ZOUTIS: It's fun pulling 6 out of my driveway. It's dangerous. 7 MR. NOSEK: It's dangerous at 8 that spot. 9 MR. ZOUTIS: That's my point to 10 the town. This is dangerous. 11 MR. HINES: The highway 12 superintendent has reviewed the 13 driveway locations and they were 14 actually modified and that's one of 15 the reasons we requested the driveways 16 to be combined was to limit the number 17 of curb cuts onto Kings Hill Road. 18 MR. ZOUTIS: I get that. But 19 between the illegal truck traffic that 20 doesn't belong on the road to begin 21 with that the town doesn't enforce, 22 and I have had the police up there 23 many times, spoken to two chiefs and

they don't do a damn thing.

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HINES: So that's an enforcement issue outside the scope of this board.

MR. ZOUTIS: I'm voicing my concerns. I'm just trying to figure out where I can take it. It's crazy. I almost got creamed pulling out of my driveway because they come across 50 miles an hour and now we are adding more fuel to the fire. I don't have a problem with the houses. I think it's a blessing. To be honest with you, it gets rid of slop hunters, it gets rid of dumpers. Except for the slop hunters who go beagle hunting -- do you have somebody in your crowd that goes beagle hunting out there? They pull up and take out their dogs and right across the street from my house I could see and they are there with shotguns and dogs and I'm right across the street and I come out and I say what?

MR. BELL: Was that this year?

# VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) MR. ZOUTIS: Yes. MR. BELL: There's only one person permitted to hunt up there right now. MR. ZOUTIS: You better keep him off there. MR. BELL: He's not permitted. He's a deer hunter. MR. MANIA: No, these guys are rabbit hunters. I've seen that truck out there several times working. MR. BELL: I don't know who they are. MR. ZOUTIS: I believe one's a red and a white truck also. were two trucks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. BELL: My truck is red.

MR. ZOUTIS: Well, they don't know how to hunt. So as far as the traffic control, who do I take that up with?

MR. GALLI: The town board.

MR. CORDISCO: The town board.

The town board has several meetings a

month. At regular town board meetings they also accept open public comment on any issue that you want to bring to their attention. This is something that it is within their jurisdiction to consider in terms of any kind of safety measures that could be imposed out there.

MR. ZOUTIS: I think if the town police would do their job. I don't have a problem at all with what you guys are doing. I'm for it.

MR. CORDISCO: You have to understand what the planning board does is the planning board reviews applications like this for subdivisions where an applicant is proposing consistent with zoning to allow a subdivision of their property. That's the limit of their jurisdiction to make sure that the project itself meets zoning, that the houses are properly laid out with the septic, wells. There's very little additional

1	things that the planning board could
2	or would require in connection with
3	this. Existing traffic on that road
4	is not something that (interrupted)
5	MR. ZOUTIS: It is.
6	MR. CORDISCO: I understand it's
7	an issue for you.
8	MR. ZOUTIS: It is from Plains
9	Road to Rock Cut and you all know it.
LO	MR. CORDISCO: You are not
l1	hearing me. This board cannot by law
L2	do anything about it.
L3	MR. ZOUTIS: You can't tell me
L 4	it's light traffic. It isn't.
L5	MR. CORDISCO: I didn't. I
L6	didn't disagree with you.
L7	MR. ZOUTIS: Don't mind me, I'm
L8	wound up.
L9	MR. CORDISCO: I'll try not to
20	get wound up as well.
21	MR. ZOUTIS: I'll go to the town
22	board I guess. We are all neighbors,
23	we should get together like we tried
24	to the first time and do something

with this.

MR. SEELIG: My name is Adam
Seelig. That's S-E-E-L-I-G. I'm at
54 Kings Hill across from the opening.
Is there any guess on how this will
impact our taxes? Is that going to
push them up or are our taxes going to
stay the same? If you are putting
those kind of houses there I can only
see the property values of our houses
going up. I'm just curious because
I'm already paying a lot as it is.

MR. CORDISCO: I understand the concern. But you should know that the planning board cannot take as a matter of law into account any impact positive or negative in connection with taxes when it gets reviewed by a particular application. Personally doing land use law for decades now, I cannot imagine that these four homes would have a significant impact on existing tax rates. Significant meaning something that's truly

1	noticeable one way or the other. But
2	like I said, this has been litigated
3	and there's clear case law in New York
4	that the board itself whether your
5	taxes go up or down is simply not a
6	factor in the decision-making. Since
7	it's not a factor in the
8	decision-making it's not something
9	that could even be evaluated truly.
10	MR. SEELIG: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Sir.
12	MR. FEDDER: Bill Fedder,
13	Rockwood Drive. Just a general
14	question. Once a plan is approved,
15	how much leeway is there to remove a
16	building after it's noted on the
17	property? Is it a new owner? If
18	someone buys an empty lot from this
19	developer, can they move the home or
20	is it fixed?
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines?
22	MR. HINES: What is fixed on the
23	plans are the locations of the wells
24	and the septic systems, the sanitary

23

24

sewer disposal systems. The house locations can vary within the building envelope, the allowable setback areas, front yard, rear yard, side yard. Those are indicated on the plan. I believe this gentleman is a developer and actually builds the houses. has a little more control over that. There is flexibility on the lot although the systems have to drain gravity to the sanitary sewer disposal systems which will limit where you can move the houses. This plan shows the lot is buildable, that there is at least one method of constructing those but there is some flexibility in the house sites once the individual owners choose to get a building permit.

MR. NOSEK: One additional comment that might ease the concerns of the residents on Maidstone, there really isn't much room on lot 1. As soon as you start to go closer to the property line you are infringing upon

septic setback and you are almost going to a point where you are seeing grade or downhill septic. There's really not much flexibility to where that home is going to go. It pretty much has to go here.

MR. BELL: He's talking about the gravity situation for the septics so we don't have to put a pump station.

MR. NOSEK: It's possible that these two lot owners might want to move their home up more. I don't think they would because it is much more private back here. On this lot there's not really much area to relocate the house. It has to be over this way (indicating).

MR. SMITH: So when the building commences whether it's four at a time, three, two at a time, one at a time, equipment is coming in, is the equipment going to be within those properties? Nothing is going to be on

1 the side of the road, this, that or 2 the other? Nothing in our driveways 3 and stuff like that? MR. NOSEK: No. 4 The equipment 5 has to get in and out during the 6 course of the day, that's one thing. 7 No equipment will be parked on a 8 public highway. They will remain on site until the job is completed. 9 MR. MANIA: There's plenty of 10 11 room there to keep the equipment way 12 off the highway at all times. 13 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Gentleman in 15 the back. 16 MR. ZOUTIS: Bill Zoutis, Kings 17 Hill Road. As far as when you are 18 building, are there going to be 19 certain days and hours? Because we 20 get no peace and quiet up there. What 21 used to be a nice country road is now 22 trucks all day going back and forth, 23 Monday through Saturday. Are they

going to be working Sundays too?

1	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell
2	who is the code enforcement, code
3	compliance department, building
4	department will speak to you as far as
5	the permitted hours of operation.
6	MR. CAMPBELL: In the code
7	section they have multiple sessions
8	depending on the work being done.
9	During the initial stage when they are
LO	doing site prep when 1,500 feet of the
l1	residence they are limited to 7:30
L2	a.m. to 6 p.m., and that's Monday
L3	through Friday. Actually Monday
L 4	through Saturday. No Sundays or
L5	holidays. Normal construction
L 6	activities which would be building the
L7	house would be 7:00 a.m. to 7 p.m.
L8	MR. SMITH: What days?
L9	MR. CAMPBELL: Every day.
20	MR. ZOUTIS: Every day, whether
21	they are shingling or shooting nails
22	we will hear it?
23	MR. CAMPBELL: That's the town
24	code.

	VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) 4.
1	MS. MANIA: Even on a Sunday?
2	MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Gentleman
4	here.
5	MS. MANIA: I have a question
6	regarding what the estimated dollar
7	value of these homes that you are
8	building?
9	MR. CORDISCO: Bear in mind the
10	applicant can choose to answer that
11	question, but it's not relevant to the
12	planning board's review.
13	MS. MANIA: He must have an
14	answer to one.
15	MR. BELL: I really don't know.
16	I have a pretty good idea, but I'm not
17	going to make a statement as to what
18	the dollar value is on each home.
19	Some customers approach us and they
20	need square footage and they have
21	maybe a mother-in-law living with
22	them, so they apply for a grant and
23	they get some money to do that and we
24	ask the board for a variance and we

4	
1	have a situation as per. So it's
2	never you can't dictate that. You
3	don't know what's going to happen.
4	MR. MANIA: So you are not
5	building these homes on spec?
6	MR. BELL: We could spec a home
7	there. We could. Typically I have
8	four lots, I will spec one, sell one,
9	sell another. I might sell a lot.
10	Probably not, but I think we are going
11	to build them. That's our focus right
12	now.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Last
14	question.
14 15	question.  MR. SMITH: What does spec mean?
	<del>-</del>
15	MR. SMITH: What does spec mean?
15 16	MR. SMITH: What does spec mean? CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What does
15 16 17	MR. SMITH: What does spec mean?  CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What does  spec mean?
15 16 17 18	MR. SMITH: What does spec mean?  CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What does  spec mean?  MR. BELL: What?
15 16 17 18	MR. SMITH: What does spec mean?  CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What does  spec mean?  MR. BELL: What?  MR. SMITH: What does spec mean?
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SMITH: What does spec mean?  CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What does  spec mean?  MR. BELL: What?  MR. SMITH: What does spec mean?  MR. NOSEK: Speculation. When a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SMITH: What does spec mean?  CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What does  spec mean?  MR. BELL: What?  MR. SMITH: What does spec mean?  MR. NOSEK: Speculation. When a  builder builds a house with his own

	VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) 4
1	speculative.
2	MR. SMITH: What's the time with
3	no major issues? When you first come
4	in there and start breaking ground and
5	finishing it all up, roundabout?
6	MR. BELL: Typically we are
7	running a minimum five months, seven
8	months typically.
9	MR. SMITH: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Are there
11	any further questions?
12	MR. IMMEL: I do have something.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: State your
14	name?
15	MR. IMMEL: Tom Immel from
16	Karpolet Lane. I'm the one with the
17	white big garbage 55 gallon drum
18	for a mailbox because I'm on that real
19	bad turn. I would like to know where
20	my driveway and that new driveway is
21	going to be? You know what I'm
22	talking about, the bad driveway?
23	MR. BELL: You're talking about

the driveway that goes up the hill?

1	MR. IMMEL: No. I'm down here
2	(indicating). If you could turn that
3	around so I could see it.
4	MR. NOSEK: There is the front
5	of the road here, it's over this way.
6	MR. IMMEL: That's mine. I
7	don't believe it's there. I believe
8	it's more this way. I think my
9	driveway is over here. I think this
LO	is really bad here. I know everybody
l1	has bad spots, but I got the worst
L2	one. I can't even put a mailbox there
L3	because every two weeks somebody is
L 4	running it over. I have to use a
L5	garbage can. This is my concern here.
L6	MR. NOSEK: The sight distance
L7	going this way is very good. It is
L8	limited, but on this side of the road
L9	it comes down.
20	MR. IMMEL: On paper it doesn't
21	look so bad, but in person it looks
22	pretty rough. That's my main concern
23	right there.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this

point I'm going to turn the meeting over to the planning board members.

John Ward?

MR. WARD: I'd like to say thank you for showing up. The traffic and everything else, definitely get in touch with the town board. All of you unite to do this. They are the ones you have to talk to. Because of this we changed the driveways because of less traffic going in with the driveways. Thank you very much for coming.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff
Browne?

MR. BROWNE: I really don't have anything else to add. John said it all. The main issue is the traffic. That is an issue that we as a board can't do anything with it. The best approach in the end is go to the town board and as a group insist that you get something done. We can't do a thing with it.

1	MR. SMITH: I don't understand
2	why you don't help push the ball
3	across with that. It's like you get
4	to a certain point and say well, it's
5	up to you guys to take it to the next.
6	I just don't understand the
7	disconnection in this. That's it.
8	MR. CORDISCO: I'll explain.
9	Each board of the town is independent.
LO	This board is entirely independent
l1	from the town board and it doesn't
L2	have the same functions as the town
L3	board or the Zoning Board of Appeals.
L 4	Each board gets to have its own
L5	jurisdiction and makes its own
L 6	decisions regarding this.
L7	MR. SMITH: I understand that.
L8	In this instance it's definitely
L9	connected. Maybe something will
20	change in the future.
21	MR. BROWNE: The applicant has
22	fulfilled all the requirements and all
23	the obligations that he's required to
24	do. I want to go on further as far as

1 the driveways and so on. So from our 2 perspective they are pretty well 3 finished up. A little bit more to go, some more bits here and there, but 4 5 from a planning standpoint everything 6 is pretty much in place. Thank you. 7 MR. MENNERICH: I think that the 8 audience ought to be aware that the town is devolved from the new 9 comprehensive plan and they have 10 11 public sessions where problems like 12 this should be brought forth. 13 that's another venue that you could 14 use. Bring your concerns in front of 15 the town board. 16 MR. SMITH: My point is it's 17 intertwined directly. 18 MR. MANIA: It seems like you 19 guys are giving them permission to 20 make the road worse. That's what you 21 guys are doing up there. 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat, who has 23 the authority under the town to

inspect the -- (interrupted)

MS. MANIA: It's not the point who has the authority. You guys are letting this go through knowing it's dangerous. You guys all knows it.

MR. BROWNE: We are letting it go through because he has all the compliance -- (interrupted)

MR. SMITH: I understand that. But you are still putting the other people in danger.

MR. BROWNE: No, no, listen to me. He has a right. He has the right to do what he's doing. That's his right. He owns the property. He has the right to improve it. That's his right. He has complied with all the rules, all the regulations. Hundreds of regulations that are required of him to do this job. So that's where we are. That's what we are obligated. We are obligated to approve this when he is finished with his job assuming it meets all the requirements, all the code. Our code, county codes, state

code, federal code. All of it. Not
just because, oh, we want to or it's
allowed. We are required to.

MR. SMITH: I hear you. If you
live there and experience it, then you

live there and experience it, then you have this opinion right over on this side -- no, not this side. That's not the right thing to say. You would have the same opinion that we are voicing right now.

MR. BROWNE: I personally have lived on roads just like that, the same or worse, and you have to do what you have to do.

MR. SMITH: What does that mean?

MR. BROWNE: That means that you have to live with the circumstances or you have to take action to correct it and change it or modify it.

MR. SMITH: That's where you stop right there. It's up to us to bring it to the next jurisdiction?

MR. BROWNE: Yes. This board can't.

1	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave
2	Dominick?
3	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing further
4	other than what has already been said.
5	I want to thank the public that came
6	out. I live on a street that has a
7	similar situation. We got together as
8	a neighborhood and just went over to
9	the police station and we talked to
10	the town board to try to resolve it.
11	That's the best place that I'll tell
12	you folks. You that spoke here this
13	evening, get together and get your
14	other neighbors and spouses and
15	significant others and just go there
16	as a group to get action. Keep at it.
17	Keep at it, you know, and it would be
18	resolved and adequately resolved
19	quickly. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie
21	DeLuca?
22	MS. DeLUCA: I just also want to
23	reiterate what everybody here has
24	mostly said. We hear I hear your

1 concerns. And especially if you have 2 children, families, etcetera that 3 have, you know -- thankfully I don't know if there has been any major 4 5 accidents. Hopefully there won't be, 6 but we do hear you. I would like to 7 echo what Dave has already said, keep 8 at it. Keep at it. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli? 10 MR. GALLI: There was a comment 11 about the lot not being cleared of all 12 trees. Once the homeowner actually 13 buys the house they can clear whatever they like to clear. They are not 14 15 required to certain regulations. I 16 don't know if a new tree preservation 17 where they have to get permission to 18 clear cut. If they want to chop down 19 a tree or two they can just like you 20 can. 21 MS. MANIA: Even if it's on a 22

property line?

23

24

MR. GALLI: Even on a property line. As long as it's on their

property. You can do the same on your property if you wanted to. Most people don't because they want the privacy. I haven't seen too many homes where they chop right to the line.

The second one is traffic. I've been to many town board meetings where Pete Calvano has been there complaining about Kings Hill Road and stuff like that, so the town board is aware of it. Most of the time he goes there by himself. If you get a group together like they said, maybe you can get some better results for the traffic.

And then the taxes in the town.

The last few years the taxes have been stable in the town. They have done a lot of development in the town. The taxes haven't gone up. I particularly live on a road where they put up a 17-lot subdivision across the street from me. It's increased the value of my

home probably 150,000. Not that I'm selling it, and the town only reassesses it so often so you are probably going to be paying what you are paying now. Hopefully it stays that way unless they completely do a new reassess. It will increase the value of your home. Usually when new homes come in and people keep them clean, it keeps the neighborhood clean. Believe me, it works. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines of McGoey Hauser & Edsall?

MR. HINES: Our previous

comments have been addressed. Again,

the board can recommend the sharing of

the driveways to limit the number of

curb cuts out of Kings Hill Road and

the applicant has complied with that.

The plans were submitted to the

highway superintendent who reviewed

the driveway locations. I believe he

was with you, John, when he did it.

	,
1	MR. NOSEK: He went out
2	afterwards.
3	MR. HINES: They have been
4	approved by the highway
5	superintendent. The water and sewer
6	facilities are in compliance with the
7	codes. They will need a stormwater
8	permit for the erosion and sediment
9	control. They will need to pay
10	recreation fees times 4. Otherwise,
11	we don't have any outstanding comments
12	on the project.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Last
14	question of the evening. Go ahead.
15	MR. MANIA: One last question.
16	Is there a silt fence along the road
17	on the property?
18	MR. DOMINICK: Yes. There's an
19	erosion and sediment control plan that
20	has been prepared and part of the
21	enforcement of that is through that
22	permit they need to get from the
23	D. D. G San the san the files are
20	D.E.C. for the one- to five-acre
24	disturbance on a residential project.

1 There's a system in place to ensure 2 that that's in place. Limits of 3 disturbance have been shown on these Those are enforcible on the 4 plans. 5 original developer. That should be the limits of disturbance on the plan. 6 7 My office does periodic inspections 8 working with the building department on projects that have the D.E.C. 9 permit. The town is actually 10 11 regulated under that permit so there's 12 an inspection process during the 13 construction phase. 14 MR. MANIA: The shale, are they 15 required to use water to keep the dust 16 down? 17 MR. HINES: Dust control is part 18 of the D.E.C. permit. Not even just 19 hammering the shale, but dust control 20 is part of the D.E.C. permit. 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you 22 for attending the meeting. Traffic is 23 a major concern throughout the town.

We hear this being raised many times

1	so it's not like we haven't heard it.
2	As far as saving trees, there's no
3	requirement in the code to buffer
4	residential projects from other
5	residential projects. There is a
6	requirement of a commercial zone and a
7	residential zone that have an adequate
8	buffer between properties, but there's
9	no requirement for residential zones.
10	Would someone move for a motion
11	to close the public hearing on the
12	subject property, which is a five-lot
13	subdivision on Kings Hill Road?
14	MR. GALLI: So moved.
15	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion by
17	Frank Galli, second by Stephanie
18	DeLuca. Any discussion? Roll call
19	vote starting with John Ward.
20	MR. WARD: Aye.
21	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
23	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
24	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.

21

22

23

24

MS. DeLUCA: Aye.

MR. GALLI: Aye.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion carried. At this point we will turn the meeting over to Dominic Cordisco who will discuss the resolution for final approval.

MR. CORDISCO: Yes. Given that the applicant has satisfied all technical requirements that are related to this subdivision, my recommendation would be to consider the resolution that would adopt both a preliminary and conditional final approval for this five-lot subdivision with four new residential homes. The conditions would include the need to provide a common driveway maintenance agreement for the two common driveways as well as coverage under the stormwater permit for erosion and sediment control and the payment of \$8,000 in recreation fees for the four new lots or whatever fee is in place

	VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) 60
1	at the time.
2	MR. BELL: 3 per you said?
3	MR. CORDISCO: 4.
4	MR. HINES: \$2,000 per lot.
5	MR. BELL: \$2,000 per lot?
6	MR. HINES: \$2,000 per lot.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any
8	questions or comments from board
9	members?
10	MR. WARD: No additional
11	questions.
12	MR. BROWNE: No.
13	MR. MENNERICH: No.
14	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing.
15	MS. DeLUCA: No.
16	MR. GALLI: No.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would
18	someone move for a motion to approve
19	the resolution subject to the
20	conditions that Dominic Cordisco, the
21	planning board attorney, just spoke
22	of?
23	MR. GALLI: So moved.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Second?

	VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3)	61
1	MR. MENNERICH: Second.	
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion by	
3	Frank Galli, second by Ken Mennerich.	
4	Can I have a roll call vote starting	
5	with John Ward?	
6	MR. WARD: Aye.	
7	MR. BROWNE: Aye.	
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.	
9	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.	
10	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.	
11	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.	
12	MR. GALLI: Aye.	
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion	
14	carried. Thank you.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

	VANTAGE CONSTRUCTION (23-3) 62
1	STATE OF NEW YORK )
2	) ss:
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE )
4	
5	
6	I, PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO, a Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
8	State of New York, do hereby certify that the
9	foregoing is a true and accurate record of the
10	minutes having been stenographically recorded by
11	me and transcribed under my supervision to the
12	best of my knowledge and belief.
13	
14	
15	
16	DL+ D1
17	Patrix Deserto
18	YPATRICK M. DeGIORGIO
19	TAIRICK H. DEGIONGIO
20	
21	
22	Dated: October 18, 2023
23	
24	

	-
1	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD
2	In the Matter of
3	
4	FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23)
5	NYS Route 32 & Crab Apple Court Section 34; Block 2; Lots 25.2, 54, 74,
6	76, 77
7	Zone: B
8	SITE PLAN
9	Date: October 5, 2023 Time: 7:50 p.m.
10	Place: Town of Newburgh
11	Town Hall 1496 Route 300
12	Newburgh, NY 12550
13	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI
14	DAVID DOMINICK KENNETH MENNERICH
15	STEPHANIE DeLUCA
16	JOHN A. WARD CLIFFORD BROWNE
17	ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
18	PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL
19	
20	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN QUEENAN
21	REPORTED BY: Patrick DeGiorgio, Court Reporter
22	
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO P.O. Box 816
24	Dover Plains, New York 12522 (845) 541-4163

#### FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23)

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The planning
board's second item of business this
evening is Fabulous Events. It's a
site plan located on Route 32 and Crab
Apple Court. It's a B Zone and it's
being represented by Lanc & Tully.

MR. QUEENAN: Good evening
everyone. I'm John Queenan with Lanc
& Tully, engineer for the project. We
are back before you again with
hopefully our final version of the
site plan for Fabulous Events.

The last time that I appeared before the board we were in a position that the plan was referred to the Orange County Planning Department and there was discussion regarding the timing of that letter. We were able to secure that letter a little bit earlier. I believe the board took the matter up at the last planning board meeting in September where a negative declaration was granted. The public hearing was waived for this project.

#### FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23)

We are back in front of you tonight
with our resubmission which addressed
all the prior consultant comments that
we had received at the time. We are
before you tonight for consideration
of conditional final approval.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines of McGoey, Hauser & Edsall?

MR. HINES: As Mr. Oueenan has stated, our previous comments from the 7 September meeting were addressed. stormwater facilities maintenance agreement will be required. A D.O.T. permit for access and utilities will be required. They did add notes on the plans regarding tree cutting time frames to protect the bat species habitat on the site. We discussed at the work session that the use should be specifically identified in the plans. This is not approved as a warehouse although it looks like a warehouse. It is more of a retail use in the applicant's party rental

business with storage. That will kind of frame the use of the building for the future.

I have a couple comments on the tree preservation plan. The plan has been prepared. The trees identified, but there's a calculation for percentage in the B Zone. It's fairly generous for the percent removal. I think the plans are compliant. We just don't have the calculation.

I will require a D.E.C. construction stormwater permit which we will request be in place prior to stamping the plan as a checks and balance.

I had a comment regarding the lack of a hydrant on the site and I know Jim Campbell's office will comment on that. I believe there will be a need for an on-site hydrant which will require health department approval, but it could be considered a condition if so desired.

## FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23)

1	MR. QUEENAN: There is a note on
2	the plan that does generally say that
3	the warehouse is not allowed. Did you
4	want that expanded upon?
5	MR. HINES: I ask that you
6	incorporate it into the resolution as
7	well.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim
9	Campbell, do you want to speak further
10	on the need for an additional hydrant?
11	MR. CAMPBELL: It was determined
12	that an on-site hydrant towards the
13	rear of the building will be required
14	due to the building size and
15	commodities in the building.
16	MR. QUEENAN: Based on I'm
17	assuming the fire code. We do have a
18	hydrant and the building will be fully
19	sprinklered.
20	MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.
21	MR. QUEENAN: I know that the
22	code allows for an increase of 50
23	percent of the distance from the
24	existing hydrant along the frontage.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

MR. QUEENAN: I don't have anything on the sign. We just show the location of it, the general size of it. With respect to the exact specs of the sign, we don't have it as of yet.

MR. WARD: That's part of the

	FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23)	7
1	ARB. Thank you.	
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff	
3	Browne?	
4	MR. BROWNE: I have nothing	
5	further.	
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken	
7	Mennerich?	
8	MR. MENNERICH: When you did the	
9	ARB, did you submit the form that	
10	showed all the materials and colors?	
11	MR. QUEENAN: Yes. I think	
12	there was a list on the rendering of	
13	the colors and materials.	
14	MR. MENNERICH: Thank you.	
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave	
16	Dominick?	
17	MR. DOMINICK: John, the color,	
18	of the exterior is brown?	
19	MR. QUEENAN: Yes.	
20	MR. DOMINICK: And we had talked	
21	about that a little bit. Is it still	
22	going to be brown?	
23	MR. QUEENAN: No. We went back	
24	and lightened it up. It was a dark	

	FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23)	8
1	brown.	
2	MR. DOMINICK: Light brown?	
3	MR. QUEENAN: Exactly.	
4	MR. DOMINICK: Thank you.	
5	MS. DeLUCA: I was going to ask	
6	the same thing about the coloring. I	
7	didn't see the updated version of it.	
8	That's why I'm asking.	
9	MR. QUEENAN: Yeah, it was	
10	updated. Same general idea.	
11	MR. DOMINICK: When did you turn	
12	that in?	
13	MR. QUEENAN: A couple months	
14	ago.	
15	MR. MENNERICH: That was with	
16	the updated color?	
17	MR. QUEENAN: Yes.	
18	MR. GALLI: Make it part of the	
19	approval. Get it over to the town.	
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Get it over	
21	to the town or get it over to the	
22	planning board?	
23	MR. GALLI: Town planning board.	
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What we are	

#### FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23)

giving consideration to this evening, and Dominic Cordisco will speak on that, is site plan approval subject to conditions and Dominic Cordisco will take that further as far as ARB.

MR. CORDISCO: Yes. connection with the ARB, it seems the consensus for the board and not having the plans in front of us tonight, my recommendation would be that you defer the ARB approval until such time we have had the opportunity to review those plans and discuss them and make further adjustments to them and we request the applicant to do so. Without them in front of you, my recommendation this evening is to defer that to another evening, but nonetheless I think the board will also review the conditions given previously and waive a discretionary public hearing and you also completed the SEQR process for this project to consider the additional site plan

#### FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23)

24

approval. Conditions have already been touched on by Mr. Hines to a large extent. I have them down as a stormwater maintenance agreement to be recorded for the project. They also need to obtain coverage under the D.E.C. stormwater general permit for the project. There are tree clearing restrictions as well as clean up items as well as tree preservation plan adjustments that need to be made as per Pat's comments, so addressing his outstanding engineering comments I believe could be a condition of any approval. There would also be contained in the resolution itself a limitation on the use. To make it clear as described by the applicant it's not a warehouse use and if there is a change in use proposed contemplated in the future you will have to come back before this board for approval. There is also the open issue as to whether or not a hydrant

is going to be required in the rear of the building. If that is required then that will trigger the need for Orange County Health Department approval for the water main extension. We can provide that if it is required by the code enforcement officer. So that's how I would suggest that we address that item. If the determination is made locally that it's not required then obviously they won't have to go to the health department to get that approval. I think that about covers it.

MR. HINES: There's security.

MR. CORDISCO: In connection with the signage that I was considering part of the ARB, so my recommendation to the applicant is that we do submit or resubmit however the case may be the architectural renderings in detail of the project. It would also be helpful to have as much signage details if we could.

#### FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23)

	FADOLOGS EVERTS (22 23)
1	MR. QUEENAN: D.O.T., one more.
2	MR. HINES: And also security
3	for the stormwater, landscaping and
4	tree preservation security and
5	inspection.
6	MR. CORDISCO: Stormwater,
7	landscaping and inspection?
8	MR. HINES: And inspection and
9	the tree preservation requires
10	security.
11	MR. CORDISCO: Right.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any
13	additional questions or comments from
14	board members? Starting with John
15	Ward.
16	MR. WARD: No.
17	MR. BROWNE: No.
18	MR. MENNERICH: No.
19	MR. DOMINICK: No.
20	MS. DeLUCA: Nothing.
21	MR. GALLI: No comments.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard
23	the conditions that were presented by
24	Dominic Cordisco, planning board

	FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23) 13
1	attorney, and also by Pat Hines with
2	MH&E to grant conditional site plan
3	approval for the Fabulous Events
4	subject to a later presentation for
5	the ARB and also signage, will someone
6	make a motion to approve?
7	MR. DOMINICK: I make a motion.
8	MR. GALLI: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion by
10	Dave DOminick and a second by John
11	Galli. Can I please have a roll call
12	vote starting with John Ward?
13	MR. WARD: Aye.
14	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
16	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
17	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
18	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
19	MR. GALLI: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
21	
22	
23	
24	

	FABULOUS EVENTS (22-23) 14
1	STATE OF NEW YORK )
2	) ss:
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE )
4	
5	
6	I, PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO, a Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
8	State of New York, do hereby certify that the
9	foregoing is a true and accurate record of the
10	minutes having been stenographically recorded by
11	me and transcribed under my supervision to the
12	best of my knowledge and belief.
13	
14	
15	
16	DL+ D1
17	Patit Verserlo
18	XPATRICK M. DeGIORGIO
19	TAIRICK M. Deglorgio
20	
21	Dated: October 18, 2023
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD
2	In the Matter of
3	MONARCH WOODS SENIOR HOUSING
4	(19-28)
5	Monarch Drive
6	Section 103; Block 7; Lot 18 Zone: B
7	
8	MULTI-FAMILY SENIOR HOUSING SITE PLAN
9	Date: October 5, 2023 Time: 8:00 p.m.
10	Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall
11	1496 Route 300
12	Newburgh, NY 12550
13	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI
14	DAVID DOMINICK
15	KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA
16	JOHN A. WARD CLIFFORD BROWNE
17	ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
18	PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL
19	
20	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: ROSS WINGLOVITZ MIKE MAHAR
21	REPORTED BY: Patrick DeGiorgio, Court Reporter
22	WIGHTIF I COVERS
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO P.O. Box 816
24	Dover Plains, New York 12522 (845) 541-4163

1	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The third
2	item of business this evening is
3	Monarch Woods Senior Housing, a
4	multi-family senior housing site plan
5	located on Monarch Drive in an R Zone
6	represented by Engineering & Surveying
7	Properties. Pat, what's the correct
8	zone? I don't believe it's an R Zone.
9	MR. HINES: No, it's a B Zone.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
11	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Good evening,
12	Ross Winglovitz with Engineering &
13	Surveying Properties. I'm here with
14	Mike Mahar. I represent the
15	ownership. We were before this board
16	for several years and the town board
17	regarding zoning because this is a use
18	that requires town board authorization
19	as well as this board for site plan
20	and special use permit. Last August
21	the board granted a negative
22	declaration and preliminary site plan
23	approval to allow us to go out and get

our outside agency approvals including

1 the D.E.C. for sewer main extension, 2 Department of Health for water main 3 extension, Army Corps of Engineers permits. We have everything in place. 4 5 The only permit that was outstanding 6 was the D.O.T. We had as requested by 7 the initial meetings with the fire 8 department and emergency access road in from 52 that required the D.O.T. 9 10 entrance permit. As part of that 11 permit process, the D.O.T. asked for a 12 copy of our traffic study which we 13 initially objected to saying there's 14 no traffic being generated on 52. 15 They asked for it anyway. We provided 16 it. They indicated that based on our 17 three trips through the intersection 18 in the morning and 6 in the afternoon 19 that we were responsible for doing a 20 left-turn lane on Route 52 basically 21 in order to get our permit for our 22 emergency access.

23

24

So Mike and I spoke. We really complied with the fire code without

1	that access. All portions of this
2	building are within 150 feet, either
3	52 or our other emergency access road
4	on the east side of the building. So
5	most of the entire back of the
6	building is within 150 feet of Route
7	52 so it complies with access there
8	and the emergency access road behind.
9	And like I said, the east side also
10	provides additional access. Pat knows
11	that is over 150 feet. We realized
12	that. We had asked for a waiver. We
13	have extended that to improve access
14	to meet code. We don't need to be
15	that long. We could cut it back to
16	150 feet if that's what the board
17	prefers. Sometimes the code prohibits
18	you from doing things that make more
19	sense. So we could cut that back to
20	150 feet and still meet the code as
21	far as fire access.
17 18 19	prefers. Sometimes the code prohibs you from doing things that make more sense. So we could cut that back to

Pat's other comment was regarding aerial apparatus access.

These pink areas represent where we

have aerial access 26-foot wide parallel to the face of the building no greater than 30 feet away. The access roads in the rear are just fire apparatus access which only needs to be 20 feet for those.

I think that's the majority of the comments. We did do the tree inventory back in the spring before the code was changed so we did the tree inventory for the entire property at that time. So we have the entire property covered with that.

Pat noted a few issues with it and we will have to look at it. I think it has to do with the diameter of the tree.

MR. HINES: Yes.

MR. WINGLOVITZ: We will have to work that out with the board. What we are here for tonight is to ask the board to grant final approval to this modified site plan based on the fact that it meets all fire codes and we

	MONARCH WOODS SENIOR HOUSING (19-28)	6
1	have all the permits that would be	
2	required for preliminary approval.	
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim	
4	Campbell, you raised the issue.	
5	MR. CAMPBELL: Could you supply	
6	something that shows what you are	
7	showing in that pink area, some	
8	dimensions and stuff that shows that	
9	26 feet?	
10	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Sure. Those	
11	aisles are 26-foot wide. Parking	
12	spaces are eight feet deep.	
13	MR. CAMPBELL: And the distance	
14	from the aisle?	
15	MR. WINGLOVITZ: 28 feet.	
16	MR. CAMPBELL: Show us that.	
17	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Absolutely. I	
18	could do a little figure up and label	
19	those areas up and give you the	
20	dimensions.	
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Starting out	
22	with questions from board members.	
23	John Ward?	
24	MR. WARD: When you applied to	

	MONARCH WOODS SENIOR HOUSING (19-28) 8
1	what else to do right now. We have to
2	do something with it.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken
4	Mennerich?
5	MR. MENNERICH: Do you have a
6	time frame that you can think it will
7	get resolved?
8	MR. WINGLOVITZ: With the D.O.T.
9	we no longer require their approval.
10	We will send back to them a revised
11	plan indicating that based on this
12	there's no permits.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave
14	Dominick?
15	MR. DOMINICK: Still have the
16	generator; correct?
17	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Still have the
18	generator.
19	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing further.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie
21	DeLuca?
22	MS. DeLUCA: I have nothing
23	further. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli?

	, , ,
1	MR. GALLI: Can Pat read into
2	the record what we have from Ken
3	Worsted? Did we get a comment from
4	Ken?
5	MR. HINES: We did. I actually
6	have that right in front of me.
7	MR. GALLI: If you could read
8	that into the record.
9	MR. HINES: I'll give you the
10	Readers Digest version of it. I don't
11	know if it was Ken's intent for me to
12	read the whole thing. He states he
13	didn't recall seeing this in April,
14	but generally agreed the left turn
15	lane wouldn't be the applicant's
16	responsibility. The threshold for
17	left turn lanes is very low. The
18	response you provided, meaning Ross,
19	below is the same that he would have
20	provided had he been responding to the
21	D.O.T.'s comment. That being said,
22	the incremental increase in traffic
23	throughout the town may not rise to

the level of the particular project

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

being the clear trigger for improvements and the D.O.T. doesn't have the funds to make improvements to in quotes, "most existing conditions situations." So from a long-term perspective we expect there will be a slow degrading of the intersection operations until it hits a critical point where there's enough complaints and funding to improve the issue. A transportation improvement district like Wallkill would help. They add to the pot by those who don't otherwise trigger the improvement. A D.O.T. improvement is no longer needed. Have they seen the latest plan? And then he states he won't be at the meeting tonight, but did want to send this comment out. So I think he's suggesting that he did get something from the D.O.T. acknowledging the removal of the emergency access drive and the lack of permit jurisdiction and see what they have to say about

	MONARCH WOODS SENIOR HOUSING (19-28)
1	that turn lane again.
2	MR. GALLI: That's all I have.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can you work
4	along those lines even though you feel
5	it's not necessary?
6	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Yeah. I don't
7	know what kind of response I will give
8	to him, but I will definitely comment
9	to them. The plan has been revised.
10	The permit is no longer necessary and
11	I'll get them to confirm.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat, while
13	we have you talking with us, do you
14	want to go through your final
15	comments?
16	MR. HINES: Sure. My first
17	comment states why they're here. Site
18	plan approval to eliminate the
19	emergency access drive. The approval
20	that you issued did have a requirement
21	for a D.O.T. permit so that would have
22	to be revised eventually.
23	Second comment is that Ken
24	Worsted's comments regarding the left

turn lanes out of Monarch Drive should be received which I just read. The emergency access lane to rear of Building 2 is now proposed. I cited the code section regarding the width of that. I'll defer to Jim Campbell's office regarding those fire code issues. The fire access road being greater than 150 feet is also a Jim Campbell issue.

And I have three comments

regarding the tree preservation issue
that generally a couple of trees that
would have met the threshold for
specimen trees, we have three types of
trees, significant, protected or
specimen and some of the trees that
are specimen trees which are fully
protected aren't listed in the chart
as appropriate and I give examples of
a couple of those trees. The town
board recently adopted changes,
increasing in the size of those
specimen trees to a 24-inch diameter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The ones I mentioned there and some others are greater than that. So I did a little bit of clean up on the tree preservation plan as well.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic

Cordisco, Town of Newburgh Planning

Board attorney?

MR. CORDISCO: Yes. The question before the board is whether or not you want to consider granting conditional final approval at this time with conditions and items that Mr. Hines has said or highlighted, or if you would prefer to see a response from the applicant, and potentially a response from the D.O.T. understanding that no response might be forthcoming from the D.O.T.? But that I think is the question before the board. applicant did receive last August preliminary approval for this project which remains valid and in place. So there is an existing approval for it. Pat's comment is that the preliminary

approval did include that condition regarding the emergency access and D.O.T. approval. Since the applicant has revised the plans, my suggestion would be rather than amending the preliminary approval, take that out, that you consider conditional final based on plans at the time as they are at that time.

> The other item that the board could consider tonight is whether or not you would like to have a public hearing on the proposed final plan for the project?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward, would you be willing to move forward granting final approval subject to Ross working with the D.O.T. and getting a response letter from the D.O.T. as well as the left turn lane?

MR. WARD: Yes.

MS. DeLUCA: I do too.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff

Browne?

20 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22 23

	MONARCH WOODS SENIOR HOUSING (19-28) 15
1	MR. BROWNE: Yes, I agree.
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken
3	Mennerich?
4	MR. MENNERICH: Yes, I agree.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave
6	Dominick?
7	MR. DOMINICK: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie
9	DeLuca?
10	MS. DeLUCA: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli?
12	MR. GALLI: Does the D.O.T. have
13	a time limit like Orange County
14	Health? They could drag their feet
15	for years. You never get a timely
16	response from them or sometimes they
17	don't respond at all.
18	MR. CORDISCO: Sometimes no
19	response is a response.
20	MR. GALLI: I'm just asking.
21	MR. MANIA: 60 days I would say.
22	MR. GALLI: I don't know it if
23	notify D.O.T.?
24	MR. HINES: I think we can have

	MONARCH WOODS SENIOR HOUSING (19-28) 16
1	Ken engage with them too to get an
2	answer from them verbally. That would
3	be a way to go.
4	MR. GALLI: I'm okay with it.
5	I'm just questioning the time line.
6	MR. HINES: Ken Worsted.
7	MR. GALLI: It's not fair.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Does the
9	board want to have a second public
10	hearing on this and what would be the
11	reason?
12	MR. WARD: No.
13	MR. BROWNE: No.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken
15	Mennerich?
16	MR. MENNERICH: No.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave
18	Dominick?
19	MR. DOMINICK: I don't think
20	it's necessary.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie
22	DeLuca?
23	MS. DeLUCA: I think it is
24	necessary because some of the changes

	MONARCH WOODS SENIOR HOUSING (19-28) 1
1	that have been made.
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli?
3	MR. GALLI: Considering the
4	changes I don't think we would need a
5	public hearing. The one that would
6	really affect the project is the
7	waiting for D.O.T. So I'm okay with
8	not having a public hearing.
9	MR. HINES: Ross, the pickle
10	board court is new too? There was
11	some minor tweaking in that.
12	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Yes. It's
13	right next to the pool. It's
14	recreational.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let the
16	record show that the planning board
17	decided not to have a second public
18	hearing and at this point we are
19	turning it over to Dominic Cordisco,
20	the planning board attorney. We still
21	at some point in time will have to do
22	ARB approval?
23	MR. CORDISCO: Yes.
24	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Yeah, there

were plans submitted as part of the
process.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: That would be a condition of approval. Dominic Cordisco?

MR. CORDISCO: There's a number of conditions that were spelled out in the preliminary approval resolution and they would be carried forward with the exception obviously of the D.O.T. permit requirement. There is also the fact that the project also receive variances from the Zoning Board of Appeals regarding the size of the units and so any conditions that are set forth by the Zoning Board of Appeals will be carried forward as part of any conditional or final approval resolution.

The applicant has to obtain coverage of the D.E.C. general permit for stormwater. They also have to prepare and submit a stormwater facilities maintenance agreement to

1 the town for the town board's 2 approval. They have to deliver 3 performance security in connection with the stormwater. There's also the 4 5 obligation to obtain a water extension 6 from the Orange County Department of Health for the water main. They have 8 to obtain approval from the Town of 9 Newburgh Water Department for potable water and fire flow connections. 10 11 also have to obtain approval from the 12 engineer's office and code and 13 building departments regarding the design of a fire protection system. 14 15 There's an inspection fee for the 16 water main extension. There's also a 17 complete set of plans that has to be 18 provided for water storage and fire 19 pump design drawings have to be 20 submitted as well as the cost estimate 21 for the water mains. Likewise there 22 is performance security sewer 23 extension, and approval also is 24 required for the sewer department for

the sewer connection. Likewise again
there will be a cost estimate required
for that.

The board is deferring to the Architectural Review Board's approval at this time so the applicant will need to reappear and make a submission prior to as the current architectural renderings as well as the Architectural Review Board application form that hasn't already been submitted with details.

The standard conditions
regarding the outdoor fixtures and
amenities can only be built as shown
on the plan and also the applicant has
to abide by all communication measures
that were contained in the negative
declaration. They have to address any
outstanding engineering comments that
have been made to date.

Am I missing something?

MR. HINES: Landscape, security and I believe there was an Army Corps

	MONARCH WOODS SENIOR HOUSING (19-28) 2
1	permit that was probably received.
2	Tree preservation and security.
3	MR. CAMPBELL: Confirm about the
4	area access and fire access roads?
5	MR. HINES: Those are in my
6	final.
7	MR. CORDISCO: The code
8	enforcement officer regarding aerial
9	access.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do any
11	planning board members have anything
12	to add or have any questions? Having
13	heard the conditions subject to a few
14	minor items that we addressed
15	presented by the Planning Board
16	attorney Dominic Cordisco for a
17	multi-family senior housing project on
18	Monarch Drive in the B Zoning
19	District, will someone move for a
20	motion to grant that condition?
21	MR. WARD: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion by
23	John Ward.
24	MR. DOMINICK: Second.

$\neg$	$\sim$
_	_

	MONARCH WOODS SENIOR HOUSING (19-28) 2
1	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Second by
2	Dave Dominick. Can I please have a
3	roll call vote starting with John
4	Ward?
5	MR. WARD: Aye.
6	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
8	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
9	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
10	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
11	MR. GALLI: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
13	MR. CORDISCO: Just one other
14	quick reference point. This isn't so
15	much a planning board condition, but
16	the condition of the town board's
17	approval with that authorized as
18	senior density as certain conditions
19	that were laid out in the letter, all
20	of those conditions have to be
21	commented on as well.
22	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Thank you.
23	
24	

	MONARCH WOODS SENIOR HOUSING (19-28) 23
1	STATE OF NEW YORK )
2	) ss:
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE )
4	
5	
6	I, PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO, a Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
8	State of New York, do hereby certify that the
9	foregoing is a true and accurate record of the
10	minutes having been stenographically recorded by
11	me and transcribed under my supervision to the
12	best of my knowledge and belief.
13	
14	
15	
16	$\Omega \sim \Lambda$
17	Patity Versento
18	X PAMPICK M. Pactorcio
19	PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO
20	
21	Dated: October 18, 2023
22	
23	
24	

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD
In the Matter of
in the matter of
TARBEN II SUBDIVISION (21-18)
Tarben Way Section 47; Block 1; Lot 39.2
Zone: AR
2 LOT SUBDIVISION
Date: October 5, 2023
Time: 8:25 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh
Town Hall
1496 Route 300
Newburgh, NY 12550
BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman
FRANK S. GALLI
DAVID DOMINICK
KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA
JOHN A. WARD
CLIFFORD BROWNE
ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
PATRICK HINES  JAMES CAMPBELL
APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: KEN LYTLE
REPORTED BY: Patrick DeGiorgio, Court Reporter
MICHELLE L. CONERO
P.O. Box 816 Dover Plains, New York 12522
(845) 541-4163

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The fourth item of business this evening is

Tarben II Subdivision located on

Tarben Way in an AR Zone and being represented by Ken Lytle.

MR. LYTLE: Good evening. First of all I want to apologize for the last meeting. I was at a job site.

The last time we were here we had been sent off to the Board of Health. The Board of Health we got additional testing in the field. We got an e-mail back from them and they had a bunch of technical comments they wanted me to do, address the pumps that we were doing. I received Pat Hines' comments regarding the right of way back of the property. There's a comment from Pat Hines regarding the attorney regarding the access for the easement that's on the property. We discussed that as to what we need and what should we want for that. the previous subdivision, that's how

we got the warning of that.

MR. HINES: The issue with that note is it references for future town road and to be a future town road the town would have to be able to obtain fee ownership of the underlying property, and that being an easement would make it very difficult now having two owners of that easement to make that a town road. That kind of threw a red flag down that's saying that's never really going to be a town road if these residential lots are developed and the people don't give up ownership of the underlying roadway to the town for dedication.

MR. LYTLE: That's how we got that.

MR. HINES: The previous subdivision had one lot and now we are chopping that into two pieces with two separate owners involved. I don't know what that wording says in there. That just caught my attention. Now

two property owners have to give up property for them to ever become a town road. I can see an adjoining property coming in and saying but it says it's a town road.

MR. CORDISCO: My suggestion would be for them to provide further detail in connection with that reference for them to say that if this area has been identified and a prior subdivision plat as a potential future town road, but the ownership is currently easement ownership and being left -- it raises a question if you take it off completely because then the question if anybody who might be reviewing it in the future might say what happened between this plat and that plat? And it provides an opportunity for them to explain what the current status of the ownership of that area would be rather than just taking it off completely.

MR. LYTLE: Add additional roads

6

1

2

3

4

5

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

clarifying that upon future town roads
the new owners will automatically have
to give that property along those
lines.

MR. CORDISCO: Yes, I think we can come up with language eventually in connection on that. I'm not sure it should exactly say that. I'm just saying it was previously identified and understanding what the current ownership structure of it would be now perhaps be enough said on the topic. We don't have to preside over that, just for them to explain what happened.

MR. LYTLE: Okay. Pat also had a comment regarding the end of the cul-de-sac.

MR. HINES: We should call that out because that remains.

MR. LYTLE: Right. The driveway again, we added a culvert, showing the grading, showing the ditch and showing the sloping of the lot, of the

driveway lot.

MR. HINES: The grading for that driveway is also a concern due to the flag lot, the narrowness of the pole for lack of a better term on the flag lot. There may be a need for an easement on that new lot. You would want to see that. And then Orange County Health Department approval is required because this was a part of a subdivision before.

MR. LYTLE: Now we are waiting for comment. The county e-mailed me yesterday.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat, the matter of business this evening is that you will prepare the adjoiners notice?

MR. HINES: Correct. The first step in the process as being your first appearance before the board, the adjoiners notices must be sent out and I will prepare those. I think you know the drill.

	TARBEN II SUBDIVISION (21-18) 7
1	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken
2	Mennerich?
3	MR. MENNERICH: On the location
4	map it doesn't really show Tarben Way.
5	Do you think you could give a later
6	version of that?
7	MR. LYTLE: Yes, it will show
8	the three lots.
9	MR. MENNERICH: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any
11	additional comments from board
12	members?
13	MR. GALLI: No comment.
14	MS. DeLUCA: No.
15	MR. WARD: No.
16	MR. BROWNE: No.
17	MR. MENNERICH: No.
18	MR. DOMINICK: No.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	TARBEN II SUBDIVISION (21-18) 8
1	STATE OF NEW YORK )
2	) ss:
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE )
4	
5	
6	I, PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO, a Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
8	State of New York, do hereby certify that the
9	foregoing is a true and accurate record of the
10	minutes having been stenographically recorded by
11	me and transcribed under my supervision for them
12	for them to ever ever the best of my knowledge and
13	belief.
14	
15	
16	
17	Ofto 1
18	Park Verylo
19	XPATRICK M. DeGIORGIO
20	
21	
22	Dated: October 18, 2023
23	
24	

Γ

1	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD							
2								
3	In the Matter of							
4	CPC of the WMM-USA, INC. (20-03)							
5	5208 Route 9W							
6	Section 24; Block 2; Lot 22.12 Zone: B							
7								
8	SITE PLAN							
9	Date: October 5, 2023 Time: 8:30 p.m.							
10	Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall							
11	1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550							
12								
13	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI							
14	DAVID DOMINICK KENNETH MENNERICH							
15	STEPHANIE DeLUCA JOHN A. WARD							
16	CLIFFORD BROWNE							
17	ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES							
18	JAMES CAMPBELL							
19	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: FRANKLIN ESTRELLA, RA							
20	ISMAEL TRINIDAD							
21	REPORTED BY: Patrick DeGiorgio, Court Reporter							
22	MICHELLE L. CONERO							
23	P.O. Box 816 Dover Plains, New York 12522							
24	(845) 541-4163							

1 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The last 2 agenda item this evening is CPC of the 3 WMM-USA, Inc. located on Route 9W in a B Zone. 4 5 MR. ESTRELLA: Franklin 6 Estrella. I'm the superseding 7 architect for the project to submit 8 the site plan. 9 MR. TRINIDAD: Ismael Trinidad. 10 I'm the representative from the 11 church. 12 MR. ESTRELLA: I'm now making a 13 different presentation as presented to 14 you before to address the issue of 15 your concern. I don't know if you 16 have a copy of this agenda, you 17 probably do. So they are issues of 18 concern to the board. To appease some 19 of those concerns what we are 20 presenting to you today is that we are 21 going to comply with all of the 22 requirements that are listed here. 23 Unfortunately dealing with the utility 24 company, and you mentioned before some

24

of the time that it takes for them to get anything done. I'm frustrated, but I'm not giving up. We submitted everything that they asked for to approve everything that is on our property, but unfortunately there is an easement agreement that would not allow us to put the fence on top of the gas line which makes sense. weight of that wall was proven to them that it will not be causing a dangerous condition for the utility company. We signed an affidavit stating that we will remove the wall if it needed to be removed. to get them to agree they asked us to get a site plan indicating where the pipe is in relationship to the wall. So that's the main hurdle that we have right now. Everything else can be done. D.O.T. approval, once we take the fence out and continue with the fence, there will be no need to do it. The fire department will be easy.

It's all exposed all around the building. The building can be reached by the fire trucks in every direction. The height of the building is only two stories, so we don't foresee any problem there. The only problem we have in there that is holding us up is submitting a final presentation to the board is that that wall that was built was built without a permit unfortunately. It's in the easterly route of the gas lines. Aside from that, we should be able to comply with everything else that you have addressed before.

The reason for this variance is that we are noncompliant with the number of parking spaces and there was a distance on the front yard that does not meet the minimum requirement.

Aside from that, I don't see anything on the documents that we need to discuss tonight. If you have anything, I'm open.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

8

MR. CAMPBELL: The town has about 45 pages of code dealing with

speak further on that.

signs. I would peruse them. I don't know them off the top of my head, but there are a lot.

MR. ESTRELLA: Okay.

MR. HINES: The project as part of the approval, and that's my fifth comment there, that it will need architectural review and signage is part of the architectural review process with the board.

MR. ESTRELLA: That is correct.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave

Dominick?

MR. DOMINICK: A couple things.

This layout, is that a current layout?

MR. ESTRELLA: That's what is there. It was always there. It was approved by the building department. It was approved by the board.

MR. DOMINICK: And the firm before you, I had asked them if they were going to keep a sign outside. There's an old rustic sign in the southwest corner.

CPC of	the WMM-USA, Inc. (20-03) 10
	MR. ESTRELLA: That's going to
	go.
	MR. DOMINICK: Well, that's what
	he said.
	MR. ESTRELLA: That will go.
	MR. DOMINICK: Finally, the
	entire building is a church, house of
	worship?
	MR. ESTRELLA: Church, house of
	worship.
	MR. DOMINICK: What's on the
	north side where all the windows are
	covered up with brown paper? What's
	in there?
	MR. ESTRELLA: I have some
	pictures here. In the back?
	MR. DOMINICK: No, in the front.
	Front left side north corner, large
	windows covered up with brown paper.

From wind They've always been covered. MR. ESTRELLA: That's the entry

point. That's the entry with the brown paper. That is where all the glass area is.

CPC of the WMM-USA, Inc. (20-03) 1 MR. DOMINICK: Okay. 2 MR. ESTRELLA: That's the main 3 entry. They are not using it. They don't have enough members. 4 5 building is too big for what they have 6 in terms of membership. 7 MR. DOMINICK: I was just 8 curious why it was covered up and 9 hiding everything. 10 MR. ESTRELLA: Because they 11 aren't using it at all and they have a 12 lot of people trying to break in and 13 they actually broke the glass a couple 14 of times. If you look at that plan, 15 it has capacity for 124 people, 16 sitting, actually fixed sitting. 17 That's what they use. The other floor 18 they started working and then they 19 stopped. They work with volunteers 20 and they work with some contractors, 21 but mostly volunteers. So we will 22 have to go back and retrieve

everything that they have done.

be wrong, change it and by the time we

23

	CPC of the WMM-USA, Inc. (20-03)
1	get approval then we make the
2	corrections and have an inspection
3	done.
4	MR. DOMINICK: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken
6	Mennerich?
7	MR. MENNERICH: Just some
8	clarification on the gas pipe line and
9	the wall and how they are located in
10	the easement. The wall is built in
11	the easement?
12	MR. ESTRELLA: Yes. Within the
13	property line, but within the
14	easement.
15	MR. MENNERICH: And the location
16	of the pipe, that's easy to find;
17	right? That can be marked out where
18	the pipe is?
19	MR. ESTRELLA: Yes. That's
20	exactly what the utility company asked
21	for us to do, to mark it and to
22	identify it on the plan.
23	MR. MENNERICH: If a contractor
24	needs to dig, they have a system you

CPC of the WMM-USA, Inc. (20-03) 1 can call in. They have to mark it 2 out. 3 MR. ESTRELLA: They told us to get a surveyor and do the marking. 4 5 It's in writing. That's what we did. We are paying for it. One mention on 6 7 this wall is that this wall is a block 8 There is no mortar cement system. 9 joining the block. I can show you quickly. This is very straight-10 11 forward. It could be actually pushed 12 out and it will go away. It's very 13 strong, but it's not sealed. It's not 14 tight to the ground. It doesn't have 15 a footing. It doesn't have any of 16 that. 17 MR. MENNERICH: I guess I'm 18 thinking if they want you to mark it 19 out where the pipe is to see where it 20 is in relation to your wall? 21 MR. ESTRELLA: Yes. 22 MR. MENNERICH: So if they have 23 to repair the pipe or something, you 24 will know if the wall has been

# CPC of the WMM-USA, Inc. (20-03) 14 impacted?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. ESTRELLA: They already They already got the survey. know. They got the survey with the wall and superimposed all of the gas line so they know where it meets and where it doesn't meet and there are locations, very few, but there are locations where the wall meets the gas line.

MR. MENNERICH: So what are they asking you to do then if you already have that?

MR. ESTRELLA: Three months I'm waiting, no response. We, the church, will have to make a decision if we want to prolong it or just remove the wall and call it a day. That's a decision we have to make because it's taking too long. I've been at it for six months already and all we got was a letter back, no objection, get this, get that, go do it, and no response for another two or three months.

MR. MENNERICH: But the last

building it?

MR. ESTRELLA: The wall hasn't
been built completely. It's just a
portion of it that is built.

MR. WARD: What I'm saying is I feel it's a liability even on the planning board if we approve anything. I'm asking you professionally if Central Hudson comes out and marks where the gas lines are, if you moved your wall back or remove it is the best scenario. The wall shouldn't be on there no matter how you look at it. If there's an emergency, they are going to take down the wall. That's not really a -- that is a liability if something blows up.

MR. ESTRELLA: I agree with you.

If I were you I would have the same position. They are making the judgment based on the information we gave them. The problem is when? If they tell us no, we remove it. Call it a day. So they are not giving us the time frame and I know you are

going to get tired and so are we. So we got to make a decision quickly. If they don't say something quick, we are just going to remove it. And quick means two, three weeks. I have my set of plans ready to submit to you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat, do you want to summarize tonight's meeting?

MR. HINES: Sure. As Mr.

Estrella said, I have the bullet

points showing the site plan showing

all site improvements. The utility

company's approval for the wall and

other improvements that are within the

right away. Sign off from D.O.T. for

the access road and other improvements

within the D.O.T. right of way. An

evaluation of the location of the

fence in compliance with the Town of

Newburgh code and comments from the

jurisdictional fire department should

be received.

The site has received an asphalt pavement overlay which when this

	CPC of the WMM-USA, Inc. (20-03)
1	project was previously before the
2	board there was a lot of discussion
3	regarding patching or in-fill and I
4	believe that the owners have gone in
5	and completely overlaid the parking
6	lot.
7	MR. ESTRELLA: Not completely.
8	MR. HINES: A large extent of
9	it. It certainly looks better than it
10	did. We have a detail requiring
11	pavement striping. I don't know if
12	the striping complies with the town's
13	detail.
14	MR. ESTRELLA: No, it has to be
15	redone.
16	MR. HINES: Further review will
17	be undertaken once we receive your
18	plan and ARB approval will be
19	required. Did I hear you mention that
20	you are seeking referral to the ZBA
21	for the front yard setback and parking
22	count at the beginning of your
23	presentation?
24	MR. ESTRELLA: No. I said that

those were the deficiencies that brought us to get a variance.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HINES: So you got those variances?

MR. ESTRELLA: I'm seeking the right information from the right people. I understood that it was given, that it was issued. I don't have a piece of paper that says that.

MR. HINES: That's the same reason I'm asking the question. This has a history and I don't recall this going to the ZBA. That's something that is going to be determined, your status before the ZBA. The change of use from the retail to your current proposed use would trigger the loss of the grandfathering of any parking and both setback requirements. If you have not received those, you will be looking to this board for a referral for those, but we need to determine that.

MR. ESTRELLA: I understood that

	CPC of the WMM-USA, Inc. (20-03) 20
1	it was done, but I don't have proof.
2	MR. HINES: We can figure that
3	out later.
4	MR. ESTRELLA: I was hoping to
5	find that out here today.
6	MR. HINES: This isn't the right
7	board. We certainly can dig through
8	the history and determine that.
9	MR. ESTRELLA: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic
11	Cordisco, do you have anything?
12	MR. CORDISCO: Nothing further.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Γ

	CPC of the WMM-USA, Inc. (20-03) 21
1	STATE OF NEW YORK )
2	) ss:
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE )
4	
5	
6	I, PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO, a Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
8	State of New York, do hereby certify that the
9	foregoing is a true and accurate record of the
10	minutes having been stenographically recorded by
11	me and transcribed under my supervision to the
12	best of my knowledge and belief.
13	
14	
15	
16	Otto O1
17	Park Verylo
18	YPATRICK M. DeGIORGIO
19	
20	
21	Dated: October 18, 2023
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD							
2	In the Matter of							
3	DARRIGO SOLAR FARM							
4	(PB#2019-24)							
5								
6	Requesting a six-month (180 day)							
7	extension from October 5, 2023 to							
8	April 5, 2024							
9								
LO	Date: October 5, 2023 Time: 8:50 p.m.							
L1	Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall							
L2	1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550							
L3	Newburgh, NI 12550							
	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman							
L 4	FRANK S. GALLI DAVID DOMINICK							
L5	KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA							
L 6	JOHN A. WARD CLIFFORD BROWNE							
L 7	ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.							
L8	PATRICK HINES							
L 9	JAMES CAMPBELL							
20	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: (NONE)							
21								
22	REPORTED BY: Patrick DeGiorgio, Court Reporter							
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO P.O. Box 816							
24	Dover Plains, New York 12522							
2 <del>4</del>	(845) 541-4163							

# DARRIGO SOLAR FARM (PB 2019-24)

1	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: This evening
2	we have four board business items.
3	Mr. Mennerich will read the request
4	for a six-month extension for Darrigo
5	Solar Farm.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Letter dated 25,
7	September 2023 to the Town of Newburgh
8	Planning Board, John P. Ewasutyn,
9	Chairman and Planning Board members.
10	This is from Jeffrey Lease,
11	representative from the Darrigo Solar
12	Farm regarding Darrigo Solar Farm, 84
13	Lakeside Road, Newburgh, New York.
14	Town of Newburgh project 2019-24.
15	"Dear Chairman Ewasutyn and
16	Planning Board members. The existing
17	extension expires 6 October, 2023. I
18	request a final six-month extension to
19	exercise permits and complete the work
20	described in the approval.
21	Outstanding are the following
22	items: 1, landscaping adjustments for
23	Patton and I-84 approved by Karen
24	Arent. 2, storm sewer bond and

MS. DeLUCA: Second.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	DARRIGO SOLAR FARM (PB 2019-24)	4
1	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion by	
2	Ken Mennerich and second by Stephanie	
3	DeLuca. Can I have a roll call vote	
4	starting with John Ward?	
5	MR. WARD: Aye.	
6	MR. BROWNE: Aye.	
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.	
8	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.	
9	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.	
10	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.	
11	MR. GALLI: Aye.	
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion	
13	carried.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

	DARRIGO SOLAR FARM (PB 2019-24)	5
1	STATE OF NEW YORK )	
2	) ss:	
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE )	
4		
5		
6	I, PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO, a Shorthand	
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the	
8	State of New York, do hereby certify that the	
9	foregoing is a true and accurate record of the	
10	minutes having been stenographically recorded by	
11	me and transcribed under my supervision to the	
12	best of my knowledge and belief.	
13		
14		
15		
16	$\Omega \leftarrow \Lambda$	
17	Patit Verseylo	
18	X DAMPIGE M. D. GLODGIO	
19	PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO	
20		
21	Dated: October 18, 2023	
22		
23		
24		

1	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD			
2	In the Matter of			
3	POLO CLUB			
4	(PB#2018-12)			
5	(FD#2010-12)			
6				
7	Requesting a six-month (180 day) extension from October 5, 2023 to			
8	April 5, 2024			
9	Date: October 5, 2023			
10	Time: 8:55 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh			
11	Town Hall 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550			
12	Newburgh, Ni 12550			
13	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI			
14	DAVID DOMINICK KENNETH MENNERICH			
15	STEPHANIE DELUCA  JOHN A. WARD			
16	CLIFFORD BROWNE			
17	ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.  PATRICK HINES			
18	JAMES CAMPBELL			
19	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: (NONE)			
20				
21	REPORTED BY: Patrick DeGiorgio, Court Reporter			
22	MICHELLE L. CONERO			
23	P.O. Box 816  Dover Plains, New York 12522			
24	(845) 541-4163			
	1			

## POLO CLUB (PB 2018-12)

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The next item of business we have this evening is Polo Club requesting a six-month, 180-day extension from October 5th through April 5th, 2024. Dominic Cordisco, planning board attorney, will speak on this.

MR. CORDISCO: Yes. So on September 27th, 2023 a letter was sent from Robert Stout of Whiteman, Osterman & Hanna on behalf of the contract purchaser, Robert C. Douglas Group, requesting an extension of the existing approval for the Polo Club. However, this was originally placed on the agenda for today for consideration because the site plan approval although also is coming up for expiration is not due to expire until November 15th, 2023. But we heard today from the current owner and actually the applicant of the project that indicated that they were unaware that this request was being made, that

they are the owner, the applicant, and they intend to make their own request for an extension which certainly could be considered at a later planning board meeting. So my recommendation to the board is because this request is coming from a contract purchaser and from the owner and the applicant and that they intend to submit their own written request, my suggestion would be that the board should table this to a future meeting until such time that the owner and applicant can make their own request.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Will someone move for a motion to table the current request before us for a six-month extension for the Polo Club?

MR. GALLI: So moved.

MS. DeLUCA: Second.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And waiting to hear from the owner and applicant.

I have a motion by Frank Galli and second by Stephanie DeLuca. Can I

1	
	POLO CLUB (PB 2018-12) 4
1	have a roll call vote starting John
2	Ward?
3	MR. WARD: Aye.
4	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
7	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
8	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
9	MR. GALLI: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion
11	carried.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	POLO CLUB (PB 2018-12)	5
1	STATE OF NEW YORK )	
2	) ss:	
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE )	
4		
5		
6	I, PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO, a Shorthand	
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the	
8	State of New York, do hereby certify that the	
9	foregoing is a true and accurate record of the	
10	minutes having been stenographically recorded by	
11	me and transcribed under my supervision to the	
12	best of my knowledge and belief.	
13		
14		
15		
16	$\Omega \sim \Lambda$	
17	Patit Versento	
18	X DAWDIGK M. DeCIODGIO	
19	PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO	
20		
21	Dated: October 18, 2023	
22		
23		
24		

STATE OF NEW YOU	RK : COUI	
In the Matter of		
in the Matter of		
DISCUSSION		
City Scape request for proposal as		
Wireless Telecommunication Facilities Consultant		
		Ontoham 5 0000
	Time:	October 5, 2023 9:00 p.m.
	Place:	Town of Newburgh Town Hall
		1496 Route 300
		Newburgh, NY 12550
BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. E	WASUTYN, Chairman
	FRANK S. (	GALLI
	DAVID DOM: KENNETH MI	
	STEPHANIE JOHN A. W	
	CLIFFORD I	
ALSO PRESENT:		ORDISCO, ESQ.
	PATRICK HI	
APPLICANT'S REPRE	SENTATIVE:	(NONE)
REPORTED BY: Pat:	rick DeGiorg:	io, Court Reporter
• •		ONEDO
M.	ICHELLE L. Co P.O. Box 81	
Dover	Plains, New 1 (845) 541-41	
	(010) 011 4.	

DISCUSSION 2

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

24

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The third item of business this evening and a discussion, I'll have Pat Hines speak on this.

MR. HINES: Awhile back, Mike Musso from HDR Engineers had indicated, and he's obviously the town's wireless consultant and has been for many years, has indicated that their firm was not going to provide those services to the town and he had recommended four consultants that provide that type of service to other municipalities. Mike has been continuing to work for the town both for the planning board and the code enforcement office even as late as today providing a task order to assist the town with the Mid-Valley Mall project.

Based on Mike's representation and I had some conversations with him a few months ago asking were you looking to get out of this and he said

1	yeah, we are, so I put together a
2	request for proposal on behalf of the
3	Town of Newburgh, the planning board
4	and code enforcement office and
5	submitted those to the four firms that
6	Mike had recommended would provide
7	similar services. Only one of the
8	firms, City Scape, submitted a
9	proposal which I know you folks have
10	gotten copies of. They work a little
11	different than Mike Musso's office
12	would. They are based out of state.
13	They do provide services for other
14	municipalities in Orange County and
15	certainly southeast New York. My firm
16	works with them in several
17	municipalities. The Town of Monroe
18	comes to mind and is identified in
19	there. They provide services on a
20	lump sum basis for their reviews, both
21	for the planning board and code and
22	they gave me a schedule of those fees.
23	They are certainly qualified. They do
24	not wish to attend your meetings.

1	They have a rather significant fee to
2	attend your meetings based on their
3	travel. Well, they will come to your
4	meetings, but it will cost you about
5	\$1,500 to attend a meeting. They do
6	provide these services to other
7	municipalities without attending a
8	meeting unless they are requested.
9	They are the only ones that did
10	respond. I think some others didn't
11	respond because there was the
12	structural engineering component that
13	Mike Musso's company always provided
14	so we kind of provided the same menu
15	of service they do. I think some of
16	the ones he suggested do not have
17	structural engineers on staff. If I
18	was writing again today I may take
19	that out and my office can certainly
20	provide structural engineering
21	services, but this again was the only
22	one responsive to the RFP we put out.
23	So it's up to the board. I

don't know the current status of Mike

1	Musso. I was surprised that he sent
2	that task order for the Mid-Valley
3	Mall. Certainly he's been involved
4	with that one and it will save a lot
5	of learning curve rather than bringing
6	someone else in. So I think we should
7	confirm with Mike Musso that he is not
8	looking to have an extended long-term
9	relationship with the town. If the
10	board wants to consider accepting his
11	proposal or wants to put it out again,
12	it's certainly up to this board and
13	the town and code enforcement. I have
14	not heard from code enforcement, but I
15	did provide copies to them as well.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim
17	Campbell, do you have anything to add?
18	MR. CAMPBELL: Jerry has not
19	discussed this with me.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward?
21	MR. WARD: I read it and I see
22	no problem with it.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff
24	Browne?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

MR. BROWNE: I'm a little

concerned. Personally I don't like

the approach from a distance-type

situation. I just have difficulty in

seeing how it will work for us

effectively. I'd like to possibly get

some feedback from the other towns in

the area that do use them and see what

kind — how they have worked with

them, how it works for them.

For what Mike Musso's company
has provided for us, basically even
this last tower down here on Pressler
Road, they are there, they are out
there, they are on site. They are
physically there providing the input
to us for what we want to have. I
just have a hard time comprehending
how this can be done effectively from
a distance. I don't know, are the
other towns happy with them?

MR. HINES: One of the things I did put in there and they did include was I asked for samples of a co-locate

1	comment letter and a new tower letter
2	which was in the packet. It seemed
3	fairly thorough their review. It's up
4	to the board. I was merely the
5	catalyst asking for the proposal.
6	MR. BROWNE: Following up on
7	Pat's comment about taking out the
8	structural engineer part. If his firm
9	is qualified to do that type of
10	analysis, I would personally suggest
11	that we would set out again with that
12	component. That's my opinion.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'm in favor
14	of what Cliff Browne just mentioned,
15	if we take out the structural
16	component with the understanding that
17	MH&E will review and take that
18	responsibility on behalf of the
19	planning board. I'm in favor of Cliff
20	Browne's suggestion. Ken Mennerich?
21	MR. MENNERICH: Pat, the other
22	companies that didn't send something,
23	did any of them communicate with you?
24	MR. HINES: One of them called

8

DISCUSSION and said I can't meet the structural component and I asked him if he felt comfortable to submit it and put that down in their proposal to the town and they did not. MR. MENNERICH: I quess I'm getting mixed signals because Dominic's summary of the information and also your input is that they are a qualified company, they have reputable professionals working for them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CORDISCO: The purpose of my review was to compare the level of services that they were providing compared to HDR, but there's one important difference is that they obviously skewed their proposal to not attend the meetings and to address Mr. Browne's comment, it would be a change in how the board functions to pursue that application to be referred to City Scape if that's who you select, to review them and by written comments, but the charge actually for

1	attending a meeting by Zoom is \$1,500
2	and that's a lump sum fee. To
3	actually come in person is \$3,000 as
4	their lump sum fee and that's because
5	they are coming from Florida.
6	MR. MENNERICH: I guess the
7	thing I find in listening to these
8	reviews is better put down in paper
9	and summarized rather than being
10	discussed in a meeting.
11	MR. CORDISCO: Yes.
12	MR. MENNERICH: We get a lot of
13	discussion at the meeting and then we
14	never get the darn reports on some of
15	these ones that are delayed. I just
16	think this might be a more efficient
17	way of getting them done. You know,
18	if you want to take the structural
19	out, that's fine.
20	MR. CORDISCO: Right now the
21	town doesn't have any pending
22	applications for wireless
23	communication facilities. We have
24	been for quite some time at Pressler

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
L	0	
L	1	
L	2	
L	3	
L	4	
L	5	
	6	
L	7	
L	8	
L	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	

23

24

Road. It appears from what as near as I can tell Mr. Musso wants to continue at some level a relationship with the town and that perhaps closing out items that he's familiar with. That might be an important clarification.

One other thing while we are sitting here talking, one other factor to consider that would weigh towards rather having a local consultant is that when Verizon proposed the new tower that the town does require a balloon test and HDR is directly involved with that. You can't do that from Florida, so someone has to be Whether that's the applicant's here. consultants that are doing it, but someone else has to make sure they are meeting all the requirements and the protocols for that balloon test. it's a complicated situation.

MR. MENNERICH: That would be a problem.

MR. CORDISCO: And you are

1	somewhat limited by the fact that you
2	only got one response.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave
4	Dominick?
5	MR. DOMINICK: I agree
6	partially with Ken that this would be
7	more efficient. However, I'm
8	concerned that we are an in-person
9	committee and we need to have someone
10	in-person to be here to talk with the
11	applicant. My other concern is Mike's
12	continued involvement in any
13	overlapping involvement that he still
14	has, especially with the punch order
15	he sent out to us earlier. He's
16	pretty down the road with that
17	company. What happens with that issue
18	moving forward and with City Scape if
19	they are the ones or whoever replaces
20	them? That seems like the only
21	outstanding action we have that would
22	involve this type of service.
23	MS. DeLUCA: After hearing all
24	of your comments, some of which I feel

1	I'm taking a little bit from each you.
2	I'm still thinking things through. I
3	do like the aspect of having something
4	more local, you know, to have access.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli?
6	MR. GALLI: The other three
7	companies that we sent them to, are
8	any of them closer?
9	MR. HINES: Certainly closer
10	than Florida. I believe this company
11	also operates out of Washington D.C.
12	I don't know that it will hurt. We
13	can certainly keep this one on and ask
14	again. Two of them I heard nothing
15	from.
16	MR. GALLI: Like if we need him,
17	who pays his fees? Do the wireless
18	communications pay everything?
19	MR. HINES: The applicant.
20	MR. GALLI: I'm asking for
21	planning for a budget. If they had to
22	come up here for \$3,000, it would be
23	on Verizon?
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Speak softly

1	because there is this issue, and
2	Dominic will explain it, based on this
3	time clock issue. And even with the
4	last the one that we are talking
5	about now, Verizon, the attorney
6	questioned the billing and that
7	billing exceeded by about 50 percent
8	the original quote. The records I saw
9	talked percentages rather than dollar
10	amount. Knowing what I think Dominic
11	will speak about is going to want to
12	start paying.
13	MR. CORDISCO: I think you also
14	have to justify the amount. \$1,500
15	for attendance at a Zoom meeting where
16	the discussion might be at best a half
17	an hour. That might be hard to
18	justify.
19	MR. GALLI: Personally I think
20	we ought to send it out, revisit
21	without the structural.
22	MR. BROWNE: You guys all know
23	me. I'm all over the country. Quite

often when I'm at a customer location

1	I'll say why does he spend the money
2	to have me come out? Typically the
3	comments are like, well, we know we
4	can, but we like to have somebody here
5	to actually talk to them and get input
6	and get responses back and forth. A
7	lot of people like to have that
8	personal interaction and from my
9	perspective I think that's important.
10	So that's one of the ways where I'm
11	coming from. I can understand for
12	myself I like that. When Mike was
13	here, he did a lot of talking, but I
14	could pick his brain. I could ask him
15	all kinds of technical questions and
16	he just gave us the answers. Remotely
17	you don't get that kind of
18	interaction. That's where I'm coming
19	from. Just my personal opinion.
20	MR. GALLI: What's the turn
21	around time to revisit, Pat, three
22	months?
23	MR. HINES: No. Three weeks to
24	a month. We have to give people a

chance.

	MR. GALLI: I think not having a
:	lot of telecommunication applications,
	like every second planning board
1	meeting, we have time to rebid it,
;	send it out for rebid. If cost is a
;	factor like John says, questioning 50
1	percent, whatever that billing is and
;	stuff like that, I think we should
•	give it a second shot and we should do
•	our due diligence on trying to get
1	maybe something in person or something
1	more reasonable without the structural
1	part of it.

MR. HINES: One of the things that limits the people that are going to submit proposals and we always did it with Mike Musso's company is do not represent or did not represent any of the carriers. We included that in the request for proposals, that we are looking for a consultant that doesn't work for Verizon or AT&T or Sprint on a regular basis. That may be an

1	eliminating factor on how many people
2	reply. These people state they only
3	work for the municipality. Mike
4	Musso's group did not I don't know
5	if that's why they did not work for
6	wireless carriers. And he has a very
7	big company. He's a nationwide
8	company, HDR. So that may be an
9	eliminating factor too. I think
10	clearly that cleans the reviews up
11	that you don't want a consultant
12	working both sides of the table with
13	the same company.
14	MR. GALLI: It's a tough
15	decision.
16	MR. HINES: I don't think it
17	hurts to ask again. I was shocked we
18	only got one back.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What was
20	just said, a disclosure statement if
21	we were to work with someone who has
22	or still might be with a carrier, does
23	that have any weight or merit?
24	MR. CORDISCO: They would have

	DISCUSSION
1	to comply the same way other boards
2	comply. Disclosing any potential
3	conflicts and also potentially
4	recusing themselves and finding a
5	replacement. Being a consultant if
6	there's a conflict that's not
7	solvable.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: So for now I
9	think the board is in agreement that
10	we would like to send out another
11	proposal, but we won't ask for their
12	services to cover the structural
13	review.
14	MR. WARD: Yes.
15	MR. BROWNE: Agreed.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	DISCUSSION 18
1	STATE OF NEW YORK )
2	) ss:
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE )
4	
5	
6	I, PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO, a Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
8	State of New York, do hereby certify that the
9	foregoing is a true and accurate record of the
10	minutes having been stenographically recorded by
11	me and transcribed under my supervision to the
12	best of my knowledge and belief.
13	
14	
15	
16	DL+ D1
17	Patite Deserto
18	XPATRICK M. DeGIORGIO
19	IMINION II. DOGIONGIO
20	
21	Dated: October 18, 2023
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE
2	TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD
3	In the Matter of
4	MATRIX LOGISTICS CENTER - ROUTE 300 (PB#20-17)
5	
6	EV Charging Stations (Tesla)
7	Date: October 5, 2023
8	Time: 9:10 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh
9	Town Hall 1496 Route 300
10	Newburgh, NY 12550
11	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman
12	FRANK S. GALLI DAVID DOMINICK
13	KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA
14	JOHN A. WARD CLIFFORD BROWNE
15	ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
16	PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL
17	
18	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: RAYMOND AQUINO, P.E.  DOMINIQUE ALBANO, ESQ.
19	
20	REPORTED BY: Patrick DeGiorgio, Court Reporter
21	
22	MICHELLE L. CONERO
23	P.O. Box 816 Dover Plains, New York 12522
24	(845) 541-4163

1 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The last 2 item of business we have is Matrix 3 Logistics Center, Route 300. This is in reference of a conversation for the 4 5 now existing EV charging stations for Tesla. For the record, could we 6 7 please have your name? 8 MS. ALBANO: My name is Dominique Albano from Whiteman, 9 Osterman & Hanna. I'm here to 10 11 represent the project for this matter 12 and I don't know where you would like to start with this discussion. 13 MR. HINES: I did bring the 14 15 plans so maybe I could throw that up 16 to help with discussions. This issue 17 came up recently. The building department is looking to close out 18 19 some 23 building permits on the site. 20 Mr. Aquino has been under a little bit 21 of stress from his tenant I believe. 22 Tesla has become the tenant of Matrix 23 in the larger building on the Matrix

Route 300 site. There are smaller

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

buildings here. This is a 927,000 square foot facility that has Tesla as their tenant.

When Tesla came there, certainly being the company they are, they wanted electrical vehicle chargers on the site. 20 electrical vehicle chargers have been installed in this front parking lot which is the first parking lot into -- the first right -actually it's the second right. It's the second right into this large parking area. Two of the accessible spots right here share a charger and then there's 18 other ones put in that area there. They were installed without benefit of site plan review, building permit. Whatever happens here tonight to follow up with the building department to receive building permits and electrical inspections.

I think some of the board members may have gone out and seen

them. They are not the typical giant. They don't look like the chargers that are Tesla chargers that we see at Cosimo's. These are a little smaller, more discrete. What they did do is also put concrete wheel blocks in front of them. Previously these were right on the curb lines, so to protect those 20 concrete wheel stops they'll put it in front of them which certainly make sense.

Matrix is looking tonight to have the board consider this as a potential field change. They are installing them. Many of us have seen them. It's kind of a -- I think it's the nature of their tenant. I was surprised they only wanted 20.

They did give us a detailed blow up of the site. There are 442 constructed car parking spots between this type of vehicle parking and here on the site. Again they put in 20 which is 4.5 percent of the parking

now which are those EV chargers. They are installed. Matrix has put service bags on top of them right now because they don't have approval for them from this board or the building department. I think they are looking for the board's consideration to do this as a field change as an as built constructed conditions.

MS. ALBANO: Just one thing to add. In the zoning code under Section 185-58C it permits the building inspector to determine whether an alteration needs to be presented to the planning board for site plan or amended site plan approval. So whatever alterations that are done, it's at your discretion to determine if it requires an amended site plan.

MR. HINES: I am not the building department.

MR. CAMPBELL: If you were to submit a building permit, I don't know if you have or not, you would be

referred to this board.

MS. ALBANO: Okay.

MR. HINES: The other issue is the approval resolution and you may speak to that, but that approval resolution, that is specific language that's only shown on this plan being constructed so that's why you are here also.

MR. CORDISCO: His plan wasn't that plan.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli?

MR. GALLI: I did drive up to
the site. I actually, like Pat, took
the wrong turn and I had to drive
around the whole building and turn
around and come back down. Anyway,
personally if you take the backhoe, I
guess they have a hose under there
with a nozzle, but they look like
bollards. They are not cumbersome.
They are not overpowering. They look
like you pull up to a place that has a
bollard in front of a building. I

said they used the US Building Green
Council Standard which is 5 percent.
You're a little short here at 4.5
percent. My other question is is 20
enough? Is 20 enough for 422 spots?
Question 2 is what about Building 1,
the first building as you go in which
has zero charging stations?

MS. ALBANO: As per the US Green Building standard, I believe that are related to -- if you are applying for an immediate certified building. On that percentage doesn't apply for this building so we are not applying for certification and Tesla they are a reliable source. These are the amount needed for a site. They are leading the industry in electric vehicles and based on their past involvement or other tenants in other buildings, this is what has been acceptable for them.

MR. DOMINICK: Can another brand use this charger?

MS. ALBANO: Yes. I believe

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Tesla is the one that is promoting a
2	more universal charger and I think
3	other companies are trying to adapt to
4	their standard. I don't know the
5	exact specifics of the charger itself,
6	but that's what I've been told.
7	MR. AQUINO: If I could speak.
8	Ray Aquino and I'm with Matrix. I'm
9	not the expert on EV chargers, but
10	from what I read, it is possible to
11	use a lot of these chargers for many
12	different cars. I think Tesla has
13	also a proprietary type of cable.
14	MS. ALBANO: Yes. There's also
15	attachments. I don't have an
16	electrical vehicle. I wish I did. I
17	don't know if anybody here does.
18	There are attachments that you could
19	get for different ports. Just like
20	you have for your phone.
21	MR. WARD: Like an adapter?
22	MS. ALBANO: Yes, it's an
23	adapter.
24	MR. AQUINO: I don't know that

1	these are intended for the public.
2	This is something that Tesla wants.
3	So as far as the standard that we use
4	on the Route 17K project, I use that
5	as a point of reference to try to come
6	up with a reasonable number of
7	chargers. Again, Dominique was
8	correct in saying that U.S. Green
9	Building Council, that's a lead
10	standard. If you want a design to get
11	your building lead certified, that's
12	their recommendation for the chargers.
13	This comes out to about 4 and a half
14	percent I believe on the plan of the
15	total spaces.
16	MR. DOMINICK: My second part of
17	the question, if we are talking about
18	the entire site around Building 1, the
19	smaller building which I know is not
20	part of Tesla, but it's part of the
21	compound.
22	MR. AQUINO: I would say that we
23	are not here for Building B tonight.
24	It's all part of the Building A's C of

O. Building B does not have a tenant beyond Walgreens right now. They were trying to sublease to other people and currently this is not in the plan.

They don't have a sublease for that building. So I would assume that when the tenant comes in there they may or may not want their own EV chargers.

MR. DOMINICK: If a tenant does move into the first building,
Walgreens, will they have to come back if they want charging stations?

MR. HINES: Yes.

MR. DOMINICK: Thank you.

MR. CORDISCO: The scenario

right now is because these are already

built. They do require a building

permit and not in use because they

don't have a building permit and

what's before the board is whether or

not you are comfortable referring this

matter to the building department

which is their common practice.

MR. AQUINO: We have, correct me

	MATRIA HOGISTICS CENTER (FB 20 17)
1	if I'm wrong, Jim, we have given the
2	department all the paperwork that has
3	been requested to date for these? I
4	think something was submitted earlier
5	this week. I wasn't directly
6	involved.
7	MR. CAMPBELL: I'm not
8	cataloging paperwork, the papers that
9	are being delivered. I do believe
10	when David gets an updated sheet of
11	what's outstanding.
12	MR. AQUINO: Yes. For minute to
13	minute with the code compliance
14	department to try to get the CO which
15	I think benefits everybody.
16	MR. HINES: I was copied on an
17	e-mail that transmitted building
18	permit applications to the building
19	department. I am 99 percent sure you
20	did submit a building permit
21	application for these.
22	MR. MENNERICH: How many weeks
23	ago were these installed?
24	MR. AQUINO: I don't have that

1 answer. I'm not sure.

MR. MENNERICH: I don't have any problems with it being considered a field change with the building department. I drove through there a few weeks ago and I didn't see them, but I might not have been looking in the right place. Based on the other ones I've seen around town, they don't take up much space.

MR. AQUINO: No, they don't.

They are not very intrusive. We are not creating any new spaces. Which are typically things that sometimes trigger a requirement for an amended site plan.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think
another example is, I think we spoke
on that, are you going to put in solar
panels in one of your buildings and
the response was we don't have plans
to, but we are going to wire the
building if, in fact, we want solar.
So my only question to you is who and

1	when did you decide, because obviously
2	there's PVC pipe in place, there's
3	electric in place, so this has been
4	thought out early on in the approval
5	process, in the site plan process.
6	You can't have these charging stations
7	without having wire and PVC. Can you
8	bring me along on the miracle in front
9	me?
10	MR. AQUINO: We always design
11	our buildings to accept future solar
12	on the roof. This is something
13	strictly that Tesla came to us and
14	said they wanted as a new tenant.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: But the
16	building is already in place. So
17	where and when did you put in all the
18	wiring after the building was in
19	place?
20	MR. AQUINO: We would have to
21	run conduits out to these chargers.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: When was
23	that done?
24	MR. AQUINO: I'm not sure on

that, John. I wasn't directly involved. It must have been done when we put the chargers in which obviously started maybe after we did our work for Tesla which probably started in July.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Were you aware of that at the time, your office?

MR. CAMPBELL: I was not aware of that, no.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'm not frowning on the subject because everything feels like it's valid. I'm just saying from a person that has some field experience in running PVC and wiring, this was already in play.

MR. DOMINICK: There's no saw cuts anywhere.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yeah, it was already in play.

MR. AQUINO: Maybe in the lawn or behind the pavement. I can only guess at that.

1 MR. DOMINICK: No, that's crab 2 grass and weeds right now. 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'm fine with it. I'm missing a few pieces to 4 5 the whole puzzle. Many times the conversation will go around that it's 6 7 tenant-driven. Again, I understand 8 what you are doing. So it's a way of saying it's not my idea, it's their 9 idea, but we wound up initially 10 11 speaking with you and not them and the 12 likelihood of us ever speaking with 13 them isn't too probable. I think just 14 for our own education and benefit it's 15 always good to have the information up 16 front rather than later on part and 17 parcel to something that has already 18 been created. We offer the courtesy 19 of having people come forward looking 20 for a field change and sometimes that 21 field was short changed because it's 22 already been in play. 23 MR. AQUINO: Okay. 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff

Browne?

1

23

24

2 MR. BROWNE: Yeah, a couple 3 things along the same line. First, from my perspective this type of 4 5 request change is pretty much 6 man-driven so I would expect this to 7 happen more in the future. From the 8 idea of having the code compliance handle it, I don't have a problem with 9 that, but I do have a big problem with 10 11 what John was just discussing and you 12 should know better than to allow this 13 to happen before coming to the code 14 compliance to see what needs to be 15 done or should be done. Like John 16 just mentioned, we hear this quite 17 often from your operation as well as 18 others, tenant-driven. Fine. 19 go to a tenent, this is the change, I 20 have to get permission for this. 21 That's a given. It shouldn't have to 22 be coming after the fact. I'm just

MR. AQUINO: That was never our

putting it out there.

If you

intention.

24

1

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At the last meeting in conversation something like this came up and I said to them, yeah, we, meaning the planning board, received a telephone call from an outfit out in California that represents Tesla and they wanted to know what the requirements would be because in the spring they are going to come here to talk about putting in EV charging stations. conversation that I had with that individual then as to what is before us, it was a real conversation. I know -- again, that's on me. Dave Dominick like all of us and Dominic Cordisco is working on a letter to the town board based upon a comprehensive plan to address this very important subject that is before us because even based upon percentages we are trying to establish a foundation as to what is meaningful to ask of an applicant

	MATRIX LOGISTICS CENTER (PB 20-17) 1
1	when we are still walking on water
2	with this whole matter. That's all.
3	Anything else?
4	MR. WARD: My question is do you
5	have any plans future-wise to act
6	anymore? Is there any more wires in
7	there that you will have pop up and
8	have more and if you do, do you have
9	any plans where you are going to put
10	them? If that's the case, would they
11	have to come back here?
12	MR. HINES: This is an
13	accommodation we are talking about
14	tonight.
15	MR. AQUINO: Yes, we have no
16	plans to put any more chargers in that
17	are in now. There's nothing that I'm
18	aware of that I've been told that are
19	in the ground for future chargers out
20	there. So what you see is what you
21	get.
22	MR. WARD: On what John said,
23	basically we have been doing Matrix
24	for a long time, for over the last two

or three years. Now that you have one in front of us, it's like be honest with it all, go forward, make sure you are on top of them. I'm not pointing the finger to you, but what I'm saying is it's embarrassing. That's what I'm trying to say. It shouldn't happen.

MR. AQUINO: I understand. We did put them on the permit application initially and a permit was approved and then we were told we needed a permit for the chargers. So our intent was never to avoid any type of responsibility for permits. I don't think that procedurally we did it the right way.

MR. CAMPBELL: Let me clarify
that a little bit. A building permit
was issued for interior alterations.
The application came in for the
chargers and the sheet that they had
was part of the interior application
permit. Our sheet did not match their
sheet that they submitted. The

1 chargers were added after the fact of 2 our dated drawing. 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Which in some ways isn't your doing because you 4 5 are not part of what they are speaking 6 of. 7 MR. AQUINO: Right, I'm not in 8 charge of permits, but there is some kind of a history here and confusion 9 and I apologize for that. 10 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic 12 Cordisco, anything else? 13 MR. CORDISCO: Just to 14 reiterate, the board essentially has 15 two options. They can tell Matrix and 16 Tesla that they have to apply for an 17 amended site plan approval and that would require obviously allocation and 18 19 going through all the steps that an 20 amended application would go through. 21 Or the board could defer this matter 22 to the building department for 23 processing.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Will someone

	MATRIX LOGISTICS CENTER (PB 20-17) 22
1	move to accept the 20 EV charging
2	stations being shown on the Tesla site
3	plan as a field change?
4	MR. GALLI: So moved.
5	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a
7	motion by Frank Galli, a second by Ken
8	Mennerich. Can I have a roll call
9	vote starting with John Ward?
10	MR. WARD: Aye.
11	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
13	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
14	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
15	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
16	MR. GALLI: Aye.
17	MR. AQUINO: Thank you.
18	MS. ALBANO: I do have one
19	question. I also represent the Polo
20	Club. I thought it was tabled to the
21	next meeting so I didn't have a chance
22	to speak. When you say a motion to

table it for six months, what does

that mean exactly?

23

1 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I don't 2 think we said that. 3 MR. AQUINO: Then I misheard. MR. CORDISCO: It was a motion 4 5 to table the request for a six-month extension. That was the substance of 6 Mr. Stout's letter, a six-month 7 8 extension. We really should hear from the owner or the applicant to confirm 9 10 that since they own it and they are 11 the applicant that they want a six-12 month extension. 13 MS. ALBANO: Okay. 14 MR. CORDISCO: I expect that 15 they fully intend to ask for a 16 six-month extension. Just that board 17 rather than acting on someone who is 18 not the owner and applicant. 19 MS. ALBANO: I'm not arguing 20 with that. I'm just trying to 21 understand. So from this point six 22 months after we hear from the owner to 23 apply then we -- (interrupted) 24 MR. CORDISCO: No. I would

	MATRIX LOGISTICS CENTER (PB 20-17) 24
1	anticipate that the owner/applicant
2	and representative will submit
3	something in writing.
4	MR. HINES: Yes, before the next
5	two meetings.
6	MR. CORDISCO: Yes. Probably
7	before the November 12th, I believe,
8	deadline. The board will consider
9	requests in the normal course of
10	business once they receive that.
11	MS. ALBANO: So you think they
12	are going to submit their own request
13	for the extension and not require us
14	to go through it on their behalf?
15	MR. CORDISCO: Right.
16	MS. ALBANO: Thank you so much.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can I have a
18	motion to close the public hearing?
19	MR. GALLI: So moved.
20	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion by
22	Frank Galli, second by Stephanie
23	DeLuca. Can I have a roll call vote
24	starting with John Ward?

	MATRIX LOGISTICS CENTER (PB 20-17) 26
1	STATE OF NEW YORK )
2	) ss:
3	COUNTY OF ORANGE )
4	
5	
6	I, PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO, a Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
8	State of New York, do hereby certify that the
9	foregoing is a true and accurate record of the
10	minutes having been stenographically recorded by
11	me and transcribed under my supervision to the
12	best of my knowledge and belief.
13	
14	
15	
16	DL+ 1
17	Patrit Verserlo
18	XPATRICK M. DeGIORGIO
19	PATRICK M. DeGIORGIO
20	
21	Dated: October 18, 2023
22	
23	
24	